



DENSITY DICHOTOMY IN RANDOM WORDS

JOSHUA COOPER AND DANNY RORABAUGH

ABSTRACT. Word W is said to *encounter* word V provided there is a homomorphism ϕ mapping letters to nonempty words so that $\phi(V)$ is a substring of W . For example, taking ϕ such that $\phi(h) = c$ and $\phi(u) = ien$, we see that “science” encounters “huh” since $cienc = \phi(huh)$. The density of V in W , $\delta(V, W)$, is the proportion of substrings of W that are homomorphic images of V . So the density of “huh” in “science” is $2/\binom{8}{2}$. A word is *doubled* if every letter that appears in the word appears at least twice.

The dichotomy: Let V be a word over any alphabet, Σ a finite alphabet with at least 2 letters, and $W_n \in \Sigma^n$ chosen uniformly at random. Word V is doubled if and only if $\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We further explore convergence for nondoubled words and concentration of the limit distribution for doubled words around its mean.

1. INTRODUCTION

Graph densities provide the basis for many recent advances in extremal graph theory and the limit theory of graphs (see Lovász [13]). To see if this paradigm is similarly productive for other discrete structures, we here explore pattern densities in words. In particular, we consider the asymptotic densities of a fixed pattern in random words as a first step in developing the combinatorial limit theory of words.

Words are elements of the semigroup formed from a nonempty alphabet Σ with the binary operation of concatenation, denoted by juxtaposition, and with the empty word ε as the identity element. (Sometimes, the term “free words” is used to distinguish from permutations or sequences.) The set of all finite words over Σ is Σ^* and the set of Σ -words of length $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is Σ^k . For alphabets Γ and Σ , a homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ is uniquely defined by a function $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma^*$. We call a homomorphism nonerasing provided it is defined by $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Sigma^* \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$; that is, no letter maps to ε , the empty word.

Definition 1.1. *The length of word W , denoted $|W|$, is the number of letters in W (including multiplicity). Denote with $L(W)$ the set of letters found in W and with $\|W\|$ the number of letter reoccurrences in W , so $|W| = |L(W)| + \|W\|$.*

Received by the editors October 17, 2016, and in revised form June 5, 2017.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A05, 68R15.

Key words and phrases. Doubled words, homomorphism density, word patterns.

Example 1.2. For the word $W = \text{banana}$: $|W| = 6$, $L(W) = \{a, b, n\}$, and $\|W\| = 3$.

Definition 1.3. A substring in word W is defined by an ordered pair (i, j) with $0 \leq i < j \leq |W|$. Denote with $W[i, j]$ the word found in the (i, j) -substring, which consists of $j - i$ consecutive letters of W , beginning with the $(i+1)$ th. Word V is a factor of W , denoted $V \leq W$, provided $V = W[i, j]$ for some $0 \leq i < j \leq |W|$; that is, $W = SVT$ for some (possibly empty) words S and T .

Example 1.4. There are $\binom{|W|+1}{2}$ substrings in W . For $W = \text{banana}$, $W[2, 6] = \text{nana} \leq W$. Note that the same factor can correspond to multiple substrings in a word, such as $W[1, 4] = \text{ana} = W[3, 6]$.

Definition 1.5. Word W is an instance of word V , or V -instance, provided there exists a nonerasing homomorphism ϕ such that $W = \phi(V)$. (Here V is sometimes referred to as a pattern or pattern word). Word W encounters word V , denoted $V \preceq W$, provided W' is an instance of V for some factor $W' \leq W$.

Example 1.6. The word *banana* is an instance of the word *cool*, realized by the homomorphism ϕ defined by $\phi(c) = b$, $\phi(o) = an$, and $\phi(l) = a$. Thus $\text{cool} \preceq \text{bananasplit}$ (*bananasplit* encounters *cool*).

Word encounters have primarily been explored from the perspective of avoidance.

Definition 1.7. Word W avoids word V provided $V \not\preceq W$. Word V is k -avoidable provided, from a k -letter alphabet, there are infinitely many words that avoid V .

The premier result on word avoidance is generally considered to be the proof of Thue [17] that the word aa is 3-avoidable but not 2-avoidable. Two seminal papers on avoidability, by Bean, Ehrenfeucht, and McNulty [2] and Zimin [18, 19], include classification of unavoidable words—that is, words that are not k -avoidable for any k . Recently, the authors [6] and Tao [16] investigated bounds on the length of words that avoid unavoidable words. There remain a number of open problems regarding which words are k -avoidable for particular k . See Lothaire [12] and Currie [8] for surveys on avoidability results and Blanchet-Sadri and Woodhouse [4] for recent work on 3-avoidability.

Definition 1.8. A word is doubled provided every letter in the word occurs at least twice. Otherwise, if there is a letter that occurs exactly once, we say the word is nondoubled.

Every doubled word is k -avoidable for some $k > 1$ [12]. For a doubled word V with $k \geq 2$ distinct letters and an alphabet Σ with $|\Sigma| = q \geq 4$, $(k, q) \neq (2, 4)$, Bell and Goh [3] showed that there are at least $\lambda(k, q)^n$ words

in Σ^n that avoid V , where

$$\lambda(k, q) = q \left(1 + \frac{1}{(q-2)^k} \right)^{-1}.$$

This exponential lower bound on the number of words avoiding a doubled word hints at the moral of the present work: instances of doubled words are rare. For a doubled word V and an alphabet Σ with at least two letters, the probability that a random word $W_n \in \Sigma^n$ avoids V is asymptotically 0. Indeed, the event that $W_n[b|V|, (b+1)|V|]$ is an instance of V has nonzero probability and is independent for distinct b . Nevertheless the proportion of substrings of W_n that are instances of V , is asymptotically negligible. It is this proportion with which we are presently concerned.

Definition 1.9. For words V and $W \neq \varepsilon$, the (homomorphism) density of V in W , denoted $\delta(V, W)$, is the proportion of substrings of W that give instances of V .

Example 1.10. We have $\delta(xx, \text{banana}) = 2/\binom{7}{2}$, because $W = \text{banana}$ has precisely two substrings that are xx -instances: $W[1, 5] = \text{anan}$ and $W[2, 6] = \text{nana}$.

2. THE DICHOTOMY

Here, we establish a density-motivated bipartition of all words into doubled and nondoubled words. Afterwards, we present a more detailed analysis of the asymptotic densities in these two classes.

Theorem 2.1. Let V be a word on any alphabet. Let Σ be an alphabet with $q \geq 2$ letters and choose $W_n \in \Sigma^n$ uniformly at random. The following are equivalent:

- (i) V is doubled (that is, every letter in V occurs at least twice);
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) = 0$.

Let us introduce a few more ideas in order to prove this.

Definition 2.2. Let Γ and Σ be alphabets. An encounter of V in W is an ordered triple (a, b, ϕ) where $W[a, b] = \phi(V)$ for homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$. When $\Gamma = \mathbf{L}(V)$ and $W \in \Sigma^*$, denote with $\text{hom}(V, W)$ the number of encounters of V in W .

Note that the conditions on Γ and Σ are necessary for $\text{hom}(V, W)$ to not be 0 or ∞ .

Example 2.3. We have $\text{hom}(ab, cde) = 4$ since $cde[0, 2]$ and $cde[1, 3]$ are instances of ab , each for one homomorphism $\{a, b\}^* \rightarrow \{c, d, e\}^*$, and $cde[0, 3]$ is an instance of ab under two homomorphisms.

Proposition 2.4. For words V and $W \neq \varepsilon$,

$$\binom{|W|+1}{2} \delta(V, W) \leq \text{hom}(V, W).$$

Proof. The left side of the inequality counts the number of substrings of W that contain a V -instance. The right side is an overcount of this because an instance may be realized by multiple homomorphisms. \square

Facts 2.5. *Let V' be an anagram of V , that is, a rearrangement of the letters of V . If ϕ is a homomorphism, then $|\phi(V')| = |\phi(V)|$. Thus, if $W_n \in \Sigma^n$ is chosen uniformly at random, there are in expectation the same number of encounters of V in W_n as there are of V' in W_n .*

$$\mathbb{E}(\text{hom}(V, W_n)) = \mathbb{E}(\text{hom}(V', W_n)).$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. First we prove (i) \implies (ii). Assume V is doubled and let $\Gamma = L(V)$ and $k = |\Gamma|$. Given Facts 2.5, we consider an anagram $V' = XY$ of V , where $|X| = k$ and $\Gamma = L(X) = L(Y)$. That is, X comprises one copy of each letter in Γ and all the letter reoccurrences of V are in Y .

We obtain an upper bound for the average density of V by estimating $\mathbb{E}(\text{hom}(V', W_n))$. To do so, sum over starting position i and length j of encounters of X in W_n that might extend to an encounter of V' . There are $\binom{j+1}{k+1}$ homomorphisms ϕ that map X to $W_n[i, i+j]$ and the probability that $W_n[i+j, i+j+|\phi(Y)|] = \phi(Y)$ is at most q^{-j} . Also, the series $\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \binom{j+1}{k+1} q^{-j}$ converges (try the ratio test) to some c not dependent on n . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) &\leq \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \mathbb{E}(\text{hom}(V', W_n)) \\ &< \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-|V|} \sum_{j=k}^{n-i} \binom{j+1}{k+1} q^{-j} \\ &< \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-|V|} c \\ &= \frac{c(n - |V| + 1)}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \\ &= O(n^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

We prove (i) \Leftarrow (ii) by contraposition. Assume there is a letter x that occurs exactly once in V . Write $V = TxU$ where $L(V) \setminus L(TU) = \{x\}$. We obtain a lower bound for $\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n))$ by only counting V -encounters (a, b, ϕ) with $|\phi(TU)| = |TU|$. Note that each such encounter is unique to its instance, preventing double-counting. For this undercount, we sum over

encounters with $W_n[i, i + j] = \phi(x)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) &= \mathbb{E}(\delta(TxU, W_n)) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sum_{i=|T|}^{n-|U|-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i-|T|} q^{-\|TU\|} \\
&= q^{-\|TU\|} \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sum_{i=|T|}^{n-|U|-1} (i - |T|) \\
&= q^{-\|TU\|} \frac{\binom{n-|U|-1}{2}}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \\
&\sim q^{-\|TU\|} \\
&> 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

It behooves us now to develop more precise theory for these two classes of words: doubled and nondoubled. Lemma 2.9 below both helps develop that theory and gives insight into the detrimental effect that letter reoccurrence has on encounter frequency.

Lemma 2.6. *For $\bar{r} = \{r_1, \dots, r_k\} \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^k$ and $d = \gcd_{i \in [k]}(r_i)$, there exists an integer $N = N_{\bar{r}}$ such that for every $n > N$ there exist coefficients $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $dn = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i r_i$ and $a_i \leq N$ for $i \geq 2$.*

Proof. For some sufficiently large N' , every $m > N'$ with $d \mid m$ can be written as a linear combination of the r_i with positive coefficients. In particular, for $N' < m \leq N' + r_1 = N$, the coefficients are at most N . Now every $dn > N$ is congruent modulo r_1 to some such m , so we can write $dn = m + cr_1$ for some positive c and $m = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i r_i$ with $0 < b_i \leq N$. Put $a_1 = c + b_1$ and $a_i = b_i$ for $i \geq 2$. □

Definition 2.7. *The multiplicity of a letter in word W is the number of times that letter occurs in W . A letter with multiplicity at least 2 is called recurring, and a letter with multiplicity 1 is called nonrecurring.*

Example 2.8. *In the word $W = \text{banana}$: a has multiplicity 3, b has multiplicity 1, and n has multiplicity 2; thus a and c are recurring and b is nonrecurring.*

Lemma 2.9. *For any word V , let $\Gamma = L(V) = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ where x_i has multiplicity r_i for each $i \in [k]$. Let U be V with all letters of multiplicity $r = \min_{i \in [k]}(r_i)$ removed. Finally, let Σ be any finite alphabet with $|\Sigma| = q \geq 2$ letters. Then for a uniformly randomly chosen V -instance $W \in \Sigma^{dn}$, where $d = \gcd_{i \in [k]}(r_i)$, there is asymptotically almost surely a homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ with $\phi(V) = W$ and $|\phi(U)| < \sqrt{dn}$.*

Proof. Let a_n be the number of V -instances in Σ^n and b_n be the number of homomorphisms $\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ such that $|\phi(V)| = n$. Let b_n^1 be the number of these ϕ such that $|\phi(U)| < \sqrt{n}$ and b_n^2 the number of all other ϕ so that $b_n = b_n^1 + b_n^2$. Similarly, let a_n^1 be the number of V -instances in Σ^n for which there exists a ϕ counted by b_n^1 and a_n^2 the number of instances with no such ϕ , so $a_n = a_n^1 + a_n^2$. Observe that $a_n^2 \leq b_n^2$.

Without loss of generality, assume $r_1 = r$ (rearrange the x_i if not). We now utilize $N = N_{\bar{r}}$ from Lemma 2.6. For sufficiently large n , we can undercount a_{dn}^1 by counting homomorphisms ϕ with $|\phi(x_i)| = a_i$ for the a_i attained from Proposition 2.6. Indeed, distinct homomorphisms with the same image-length for every letter in V produce distinct V -instances. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} a_{dn}^1 &\geq q^{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i} \\ &\geq q^{\left(\frac{dn-(k-1)N}{r} + r(k-1)\right)} \\ &= cq^{\left(\frac{dn}{r}\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c = q^{(k-1)(r^2-N)/r}$ depends on V but not on n . To overcount b_n^2 (and a_{dn}^2 by extension), we consider all $\binom{n+1}{|V|+1}$ ways to partition an n -letter length and so determine the lengths of the images of the letters in V . However, for letters with multiplicity strictly greater than r , the sum of the lengths of their images must be at least \sqrt{n} . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} b_n^2 &\leq \binom{n+1}{|V|+1} \sum_{i=\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil}^n q^{\left(\frac{n-i}{r} + \frac{i}{r+1}\right)} \\ &= \binom{n+1}{|V|+1} \sum_{i=\lceil\sqrt{n}\rceil}^n q^{\left(\frac{n}{r} - \frac{i}{r(r+1)}\right)} \\ &< n^{|V|+2} q^{\left(\frac{n}{r} - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{r(r+1)}\right)} \\ &= o\left(q^{\frac{n}{r}}\right); \\ a_{dn}^2 &\leq b_{dn}^2 \\ &= o\left(a_{dn}^1\right). \end{aligned}$$

That is, the proportion of V -instances of length dn that cannot be expressed with $|\phi(U)| < \sqrt{dn}$ diminishes to 0 as n grows. \square

3. DENSITY OF NONDOUBLED WORDS

In Theorem 2.1, we showed that the density of nondoubled V in long random words (over a fixed alphabet with at least two letters) does not approach 0. The natural follow-up question is: Does the density converge? To answer this question, we first prove the following lemma. Fixing $V =$

TxU where x is a nonrecurring letter in V , the lemma tells us that all but a diminishing proportion of V -instances can be obtained by some ϕ with $|\phi(TU)|$ negligible.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $V = U_0x_1U_1x_2 \cdots x_rU_r$ with $r \geq 1$, where $U = U_0U_1 \cdots U_r$ is doubled with k distinct letters (though any particular U_j may be the empty word), the x_i are distinct, and no x_i occurs in U . Further, let Γ be the $(k+r)$ -letter alphabet of V and let Σ be any finite alphabet with $q \geq 2$ letters. Then there exists a nondecreasing function $g(n) = o(n)$ such that, for a randomly chosen V -instance $W \in \Sigma^n$, there is asymptotically almost surely a homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ with $\phi(V) = W$ and $|\phi(x_r)| > n - g(n)$.*

Proof. Let $X_i = x_1x_2 \cdots x_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$ (so $X_0 = \varepsilon$). For any word W , let Φ_W be the set of homomorphisms $\{\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^* \mid \phi(V) = W\}$ that map V onto W . Define \mathbf{P}_i to be the following proposition for $i \in [r]$:

There exists a nondecreasing function $f_i(n) = o(n)$ such that, for a randomly chosen V -instance $W \in \Sigma^n$, there is asymptotically almost surely a homomorphism $\phi \in \Phi_W$ such that $|\phi(UX_{i-1})| \leq f_i(n)$.

The conclusion of this lemma is an immediate consequence of \mathbf{P}_r , with $g(n) = f_r(n)$, which we will prove by induction. Lemma 2.9 provides the base case, with $r = 1$ and $f_1(n) = \sqrt{n}$.

Let us prove the inductive step: \mathbf{P}_i implies \mathbf{P}_{i+1} for $i \in [r-1]$. Roughly speaking, this says: If most instances of V can be made with a homomorphism ϕ where $|\phi(UX_{i-1})|$ is negligible, then most instances of V can be made with a homomorphism ϕ where $|\phi(UX_i)|$ is negligible.

Assume \mathbf{P}_i for some $i \in [r-1]$, and set $f(n) = f_i(n)$. Let A_n be the set of V -instances in Σ^n such that $|\phi(UX_{i-1})| \leq f(n)$ for some $\phi \in \Phi_W$. Let B_n be the set of all other V -instances in Σ^n . Proposition \mathbf{P}_i implies $|B_n| = o(|A_n|)$.

Case 1: $U_i = \varepsilon$, so x_i and x_{i+1} are consecutive in V .

When $|\phi(UX_{i-1})| \leq f(n)$, we can define ψ so that $\psi(x_i x_{i+1}) = \phi(x_i x_{i+1})$ and $|\psi(x_i)| = 1$; otherwise, let $\psi(y) = \phi(y)$ for $y \in \Gamma \setminus \{x_i, x_{i+1}\}$. Then $|\phi(UX_i)| \leq f(n) + 1$ and \mathbf{P}_{i+1} with $f_{i+1}(n) = f_i(n) + 1$.

Case 2: $U_i \neq \varepsilon$, so $|U_i| > 0$.

Let $g(n)$ be some nondecreasing function such that $f(n) = o(g(n))$ and $g(n) = o(n)$. (This will be the f_{i+1} for \mathbf{P}_{i+1} .) Let A_n^α consist of $W \in A_n$ such that $|\phi(UX_i)| \leq g(n)$ for some $\phi \in \Phi_W$. Let $A_n^\beta = A_n \setminus A_n^\alpha$.

The objective henceforth is to show that $|A_n^\beta| = o(|A_n^\alpha|)$.

For $Y \in A_n^\beta$, let Φ_Y^β be the set of homomorphisms $\{\phi \in \Phi_Y : |\phi(UX_{i-1})| \leq f(n)\}$ that disqualify Y from being in B_n . Hence $Y \in A_n$ implies $\Phi_Y^\beta \neq \emptyset$. Since $Y \notin A_n^\alpha$, $\phi \in \Phi_Y^\beta$ implies $|\phi(UX_i)| > g(n)$, so $|\phi(x_i)| > g(n) - f(n)$. Pick $\phi_Y \in \Phi_Y^\beta$ as follows:

- Primarily, minimize $|\phi(U_0x_1U_1x_2 \cdots U_{i-1}x_i)|$;
- Secondarily, minimize $|\phi(U_i)|$;

- Tertiarily, minimize $|\phi(U_0x_1U_1x_2 \cdots U_{i-1})|$.

Roughly speaking, we have chosen ϕ_Y to move the image of U_i as far left as possible in Y . But since $Y \notin A_n^\alpha$, we want it further left!

To suppress the details we no longer need, let

$$Y = Y_1\phi_Y(x_i)\phi_Y(U_i)\phi_Y(x_{i+1})Y_2,$$

where $Y_1 = \phi_Y(U_0x_1U_1x_2 \cdots U_{i-1})$ and $Y_2 = \phi_Y(U_{i+1}x_{i+2} \cdots U_r)$.

Consider a word $Z \in \Gamma^n$ of the form $Y_1Z_1\phi_Y(U_i)Z_2\phi_Y(U_i)\phi_Y(x_{i+1})Y_2$, where Z_1 is an initial string of $\phi_Y(x_i)$ with $2f(n) \leq |Z_1| < g(n) - 2f(n)$ and Z_2 is a final string of $\phi_Y(x_i)$. (See Figure 1.) In a sense, the image of x_i was too long, so we replace a leftward substring with a copy of the image of U_i . Let C_Y be the set of all such Z with $|Z_1|$ a multiple of $f(n)$. For every $Z \in C_Y$ we can see that $Z \in A_n^\alpha$, by defining $\psi \in \Phi_Z$ as follows:

$$\psi(y) = \begin{cases} Z_1 & \text{if } y = x_i; \\ Z_2\phi_Y(U_i)\phi_Y(x_{i+1}) & \text{if } y = x_{i+1}; \\ \phi_Y(y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$Y =$	Y_1		$\phi_Y(x_i)$		$\phi_Y(U_i)$	$\phi_Y(x_{i+1})$	Y_2
$Z =$	Y_1	Z_1	$\phi_Y(U_i)$	Z_2	$\phi_Y(U_i)$	$\phi_Y(x_{i+1})$	Y_2
		$ \psi(x_i) $			$ \psi(x_{i+1}) $		

FIGURE 1. Replacing a section of $\phi_Y(x_i)$ in Y to create Z .

Claim 1: $\liminf_{|Y|=n \rightarrow \infty} |C_Y| = \infty$.

Since we want $2f(n) \leq |Z_1| < g(n) - 2f(n)$, and $g(n) - 2f(n) < |\phi_Y(x_i)| - |\phi_Y(U_i)|$, there are $g(n) - 4f(n)$ places to put the copy of $\phi_Y(U_i)$. To avoid any double-counting that might occur when some Z and Z' have their new copies of $\phi_Y(U_i)$ in overlapping locations, we further required that $f(n)$ divide $|Z_1|$. This produces the following lower bound:

$$|C_Y| \geq \left\lfloor \frac{g(n) - 4f(n)}{f(n)} \right\rfloor \rightarrow \infty.$$

Claim 2: For distinct $Y, Y' \in A_n^\beta$, $C_Y \cap C_{Y'} = \emptyset$.

To prove Claim 2, take $Y, Y' \in A_n^\beta$ with $Z \in C_Y \cap C_{Y'}$. Now define $Y_1 = \phi_Y(U_0x_1U_1x_2 \cdots U_{i-1})$ and $Y_2 = \phi_Y(U_{i+1}x_{i+2} \cdots U_r)$ as before and $Y'_1 = \phi_{Y'}(U_0x_1U_1x_2 \cdots U_{i-1})$ and $Y'_2 = \phi_{Y'}(U_{i+1}x_{i+2} \cdots U_r)$. Then for some Z_1, Z'_1, Z_2 , and Z'_2 ,

$$Y_1Z_1\phi_Y(U_i)Z_2\phi_Y(U_i)\phi_Y(x_{i+1})Y_2 = Z = Y'_1Z'_1\phi_{Y'}(U_i)Z'_2\phi_{Y'}(U_i)\phi_{Y'}(x_{i+1})Y'_2$$

with the following constraints:

$$(i) |Y_1\phi_Y(U_i)| \leq |\phi_Y(U_i)| \leq f(n);$$

- (ii) $|Y'_1 \phi_{Y'}(U_i)| \leq |\phi_{Y'}(UX_i)| \leq f(n)$;
- (iii) $2f(n) \leq |Z_1| < g(n) - 2f(n)$;
- (iv) $2f(n) \leq |Z'_1| < g(n) - 2f(n)$;
- (v) $|Z_1 \phi_Y(U_i) Z_2| = |\phi_Y(x_i)| > g(n) - f(n)$;
- (vi) $|Z'_1 \phi_{Y'}(U_i) Z'_2| = |\phi_{Y'}(x_i)| > g(n) - f(n)$.

As a consequence:

- $|Y_1 Z_1 \phi_Y(U_i)| < g(n) - f(n) < |Z'_1 \phi_{Y'}(U_i) Z'_2|$, by (i), (iii), and (vi);
- $|Y_1 Z_1| \geq |Z_1| > 2f(n) > |Y'_1|$, by (iii) and (ii).

Therefore, the copy of $\phi_Y(U_i)$ added to Z is properly within the noted occurrence of $Z'_1 \phi_{Y'}(U_i) Z'_2$ in Z' , which is in the place of $\phi_{Y'}(x_i)$ in Y' . In particular, the added copy of $\phi_Y(U_i)$ in Z interferes with neither Y'_1 nor the original copy of $\phi_{Y'}(U_i)$. Thus Y'_1 is an initial substring of Y and $\phi_{Y'}(U_i) \phi_{Y'}(x_{i+1}) Y'_2$ is a final substring of Y . Likewise, Y_1 is an initial substring of Y' and $\phi_Y(U_i) \phi_Y(x_{i+1}) Y_2$ is a final substring of Y' . By the selection process of ϕ_Y and $\phi_{Y'}$, we know that $Y_1 = Y'_1$ and $\phi_Y(U_i) \phi_Y(x_{i+1}) Y_2 = \phi_{Y'}(U_i) \phi_{Y'}(x_{i+1}) Y'_2$. Finally, since $f(n)$ divides Z_1 and Z'_1 , we deduce that $Z_1 = Z'_1$. Otherwise, the added copies of $\phi_Y(U_i)$ in Z and of $\phi_{Y'}(U_i)$ in Z' would not overlap, resulting in a contradiction to the selection of ϕ_Y and $\phi_{Y'}$. Therefore, $Y = Y'$, concluding the proof of Claim 2.

Now $C_Y \subset A_n^\alpha$ for $Y \in A_n^\beta$. Claim 1 and Claim 2 together imply that $|A_n^\beta| = o(|A_n^\alpha|)$. \square

Observe that the choice of \sqrt{n} in Lemma 2.9 was arbitrary. The proof works for any function $f(n) = o(n)$ with $f(n) \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, where Lemma 3.1 claims the existence of some $g(n) \rightarrow \infty$, the statement is in fact true for all $g(n) \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 3.2. Denote with $\delta_{sur}(V, W)$ the characteristic function for the event that W is an instance of V . Let $\mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma)$ be the probability that a uniformly randomly selected length- n Σ -word is an instance of V . That is,

$$\mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma) = \frac{|\{W \in \Sigma^n \mid \delta_{sur}(V, W) = 1\}|}{|\Sigma|^n}.$$

Denote $\mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma)$.

We already know $\mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma) = 0$ when V is doubled; in fact, the limit exists for nondoubled V as well.

Theorem 3.3. For nondoubled V and alphabet Σ , $\mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma)$ exists. Moreover, $\mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma) > 0$.

Proof. If $|\Sigma| = 1$, then $\mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma) = 1$ for $n \geq |V|$.

Assume $|\Sigma| = q \geq 2$. Let $V = TxU$ where x is the right-most nonrecurring letter in V . Let $\Gamma = L(V)$ be the alphabet of letters in V . By Lemma 3.1, there is a nondecreasing function $g(n) = o(n)$ such that, for a randomly chosen V -instance $W \in \Sigma^n$, there is asymptotically almost surely a homomorphism $\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ with $\phi(V) = W$ and $|\phi(x_r)| > n - g(n)$.

Let a_n be the number of $W \in \Sigma^n$ such that there exists $\phi : \Gamma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ with $\phi(V) = W$ and $|\phi(x_r)| > n - g(n)$. Lemma 3.1 tells us that $a_n/q^n \sim \mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma)$. Note that a_n/q^n is bounded. It suffices to show that $a_{n+1} \geq qa_n$ for sufficiently large n . Pick n so that $g(n) < n/3$.

For length- n V -instance W counted by a_n , let ϕ_W be a homomorphism that maximizing $|\phi_W(x_r)|$ and, as such, minimizes $|\phi_W(T)|$. For each ϕ_W and each $a \in \Sigma$, let ϕ_W^a be the function such that, if $\phi_W(x_r) = AB$ with $|A| = \lfloor |\phi_W(x_r)|/2 \rfloor$, then $\phi_W^a(x) = AaB$; $\phi_W^a(y) = \phi_W(y)$ for each $y \in \Gamma \setminus \{x\}$. Roughly speaking, we are inserting a into the middle of the image of x .

Suppose we are double-counting, so $\phi_W^a(V) = \phi_Y^b(V)$. As

$$|\phi_W(x_r)|/2 > (n - g(n))/2 > n/3 > g(n) \geq |\phi_Y(TU)|$$

and vice versa, the inserted a (resp., b) of one map does not appear in the image of TU under the other map. So $\phi_W(T)$ is an initial string and $\phi_W(U)$ a final string of $\phi_Y(V)$, and vice versa. By the selection criteria of ϕ_W and ϕ_Y , $|\phi_W(T)| = |\phi_Y(T)|$ and $|\phi_W(U)| = |\phi_Y(U)|$. Therefore the location of the added a in $\phi_W^a(V)$ and the added b in $\phi_Y^b(V)$ are the same. Hence, $a = b$ and $W = Y$.

Moreover $\mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma) \geq q^{-\|V\|} > 0$. □

Example 3.4. Let $V = x_1x_2 \cdots x_k$ have k distinct letters. Since every word of length at least k is a V -instance, $\mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma) = 1$ for every alphabet Σ .

When nondoubled V has even one recurring letter, finding $\mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma)$ becomes a nontrivial task.

Example 3.5. Zimin’s classification of unavoidable words is as follows [18, 19]: Every unavoidable word with n distinct letters is encountered by Z_n , where $Z_0 = \varepsilon$ and $Z_{i+1} = Z_i x_{i+1} Z_i$ with x_{i+1} a letter not occurring in Z_i . For example, $Z_2 = aba$ and $Z_3 = abacaba$. The authors can calculate $\mathbb{I}(Z_2, \Sigma)$ and $\mathbb{I}(Z_3, \Sigma)$ to arbitrary precision [7].

TABLE 1. $\mathbb{I}(Z_2, \Sigma)$ and $\mathbb{I}(Z_3, \Sigma)$ computed to 6 decimal places.

$ \Sigma $	2	3	4	5	6	...
$\mathbb{I}(Z_2, \Sigma)$	0.732213	0.443020	0.312252	0.239935	0.194423	...
$\mathbb{I}(Z_3, \Sigma)$	0.119444	0.018351	0.005193	0.001997	0.000925	...

Facts 3.6. For any V and Σ and for $W_n \in \Sigma^n$ chosen uniformly at random,

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n+1}{2} \mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) &= \sum_{m=1}^n (n+1-m) \mathbb{E}(\delta_{sur}(V, W_m)) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^n (n+1-m) \mathbb{I}_m(V, \Sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.7. *Let V be a nondoubled word on any alphabet. Let Σ be an alphabet and choose $W_n \in \Sigma^n$ uniformly at random. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) = \mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma).$$

Proof. Let $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}(V, \Sigma)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Pick $N = N_\epsilon$ sufficiently large so $|\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma)| < \epsilon/2$ when $n > N$. Applying Facts 3.6 for $n > \max(N, 4N/\epsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n))| \\ &= \left| \mathbb{I} \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n (n+1-m) - \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n (n+1-m) \mathbb{I}_m(V, \Sigma) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^n (n+1-m) |\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{I}_m(V, \Sigma)| \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left[\sum_{m=1}^N + \sum_{m=N+1}^n \right] (n+1-m) |\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{I}_m(V, \Sigma)| \\ &< \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \epsilon n/4 \rfloor} (n+1-m) 1 + \sum_{m=N+1}^n (n+1-m) \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right] \\ &< \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left[\frac{\epsilon n}{4} n + \binom{n+1}{2} \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right] \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. CONCENTRATION

For doubled V and $|\Sigma| > 1$, we established that the expectation of the density $\delta(V, W_n)$ converges to zero. In particular, we know the following.

Corollary 4.1. *Let V be a doubled word, Σ an alphabet with $q \geq 2$ letters, and $W_n \in \Sigma^n$ chosen uniformly at random. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) = \theta(n^{-1}).$$

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 2.1, we showed that

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) \leq \frac{\left(\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \binom{j+1}{k+1} q^{-j} \right) (n - |V| + 1)}{\binom{n+1}{2}} = O(n^{-1}).$$

The lower bound follows from an observation made in the introduction: “the event that $W_n[b|V|, (b+1)|V|]$ is an instance of V has nonzero probability and is independent for distinct b .” Hence

$$\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) \geq \frac{1}{\binom{n+1}{2}} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{|V|} \right\rfloor \mathbb{I}_{|V|}(V, \Sigma) = \Omega(n^{-1}).$$

□

To bound variance and other higher order moments, we observe the following upper bound on $q^n \mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma)$. Hencefore, if $\binom{x}{y}$ is used with nonintegral x , we mean

$$\binom{x}{y} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{y-1} (x-i)}{y!}.$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let V be a doubled word with exactly k letters and Σ an alphabet with $q \geq 2$ letters. Moreover, let $L(V) = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ with r_i be the multiplicity of x_i in V for each $i \in [k]$, $d = \gcd_{i \in [k]}(r_i)$, and $r = \min_{i \in [k]}(r_i)$. Then,*

$$\mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma) \leq \binom{n/d + k + 1}{k + 1} q^{n(1-r)/r}.$$

Proof. Let $a_n(\bar{r})$ be the number of k -tuples $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^k$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i r_i = n$. Then $a_n(\bar{r}) \leq \binom{n/d+k+1}{k+1}$. Indeed, if $d \nmid n$, then $a_n(\bar{r}) = 0$. Otherwise, for each \bar{a} counted by $a_n(\bar{r})$, there is a unique corresponding $\bar{b} \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^k$ such that $1 \leq b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_k = n/d$ and $b_j = (1/d) \sum_{i=1}^j a_i r_i$. The number of strictly increasing k -tuples of positive integers with largest value n/d is $\binom{n/d+k+1}{k+1}$. Let $W_n \in \Sigma^n$ chosen uniformly at random. Note that $q^n \mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma)$ is the number of instances of V in Σ^n . Thus,

$$q^n \mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma) \leq \mathbb{E}(\text{hom}(V, W_n)) < \binom{n/d + k + 1}{k + 1} q^{n/r}.$$

□

We obtain nontrivial concentration around the mean using covariance and the fact that most “short” substrings in a word do not overlap.

Theorem 4.3. *Let V be a doubled word with k distinct letters, Σ an alphabet with $q \geq 2$ letters, and $W_n \in \Sigma^n$ chosen uniformly at random.*

$$\text{Var}(\delta(V, W_n)) = O\left(\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n))^2 \frac{(\log n)^3}{n}\right).$$

Proof. Let $X_n = \binom{n+1}{2} \delta(V, W_n)$ be the random variable counting the number of substrings of W_n that are V -instances. For fixed n , let $X_{a,b}$ be the indicator variable for the event that $W_n[a, b]$ is a V -instance, so $X_n = \sum_{a=0}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^n X_{a,b}$. Let $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$ denote that $[a, b]$ and $[c, d]$ overlap. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X_{a,b}, X_{c,d}) &\leq \mathbb{E}(X_{a,b} X_{c,d}) \\ &\leq \min(\mathbb{E}(X_{a,b}), \mathbb{E}(X_{c,d})) \\ &= \min(\mathbb{I}_{(b-a)}(V, \Sigma), \mathbb{I}_{(d-a)}(V, \Sigma)) \\ &\leq \binom{i/d + k + 1}{k + 1} q^{i(1-r)/r}, \end{aligned}$$

for $i \in \{b-a, d-c\}$. For $i < n/3$, the number of intervals in W_n of length at most i that overlap a fixed interval of length i is less than $\binom{3i}{2}$. Define the following function on n , which acts as a threshold for “short” substrings of a random length- n word:

$$s(n) = -2 \log_q(n^{-(k+5)}) = t \log n,$$

where $t = 2(k+5)/\log(q) > 0$. For sufficiently large n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X_n) &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a < b \leq n \\ 0 \leq c < d \leq n}} \text{Cov}(X_{a,b}, X_{c,d}) \\ &\leq \sum_{(a,b) \sim (c,d)} \min(\mathbb{I}_{(b-a)}(V, \Sigma), \mathbb{I}_{(d-c)}(V, \Sigma)) \\ &= \left[\sum_{\substack{(a,b) \sim (c,d) \\ b-a, d-c \leq s(n)}} + \sum_{\substack{(a,b) \sim (c,d) \\ \text{else}}} \right] \min(\mathbb{I}_{(b-a)}(V, \Sigma), \mathbb{I}_{(d-c)}(V, \Sigma)) \\ &< 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor s(n) \rfloor} (n+1-i) \binom{3i}{2} \cdot 1 \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=\lceil s(n) \rceil}^n (n+1-i) \binom{n+1}{2} \cdot \binom{i/d+k+1}{k+1} q^{i(1-r)/r} \\ &< 2s(n)n(3s(n))^2 + nnn^2n^{k+1}q^{s(n)(1-r)/r} \\ &= 18(t \log n)^3 n + n^{5+k} q^{\log_q(n^{-(k+5)})} \\ &= O(n(\log n)^3). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)) = \Omega(n^{-1})$ by Corollary 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\delta(V, W_n)) &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{X_n}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{\text{Var}(X_n)}{\binom{n+1}{2}^2} \\ &= O\left(\frac{(\log n)^3}{n^3}\right) \\ &= O\left(\mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n))^2 \frac{(\log n)^3}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.4. *Let V be a word with k distinct letters, each occurring at least $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ times. Let Σ be a q -letter alphabet and $W_n \in \Sigma^n$ chosen uniformly at random. Recall that $\binom{n+1}{2} \delta(V, W_n)$ is the number substrings of W_n that are V -instances. Then for any nondecreasing function $f(n) > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\binom{n+1}{2} \delta(V, W_n) > n \cdot f(n) \right) < n^{k+3} q^{f(n)(1-r)/r}.$$

Proof. Lemma 4.2 gives a bound on the probability that randomly chosen $W_n \in \Sigma^n$ is a V -instance:

$$\mathbb{P}(\delta_{sur}(V, W_n) = 1) = \mathbb{I}_n(V, \Sigma) \leq \binom{n/d + k + 1}{k + 1} q^{n(1-r)/r}.$$

Since $\delta_{sur}(V, W) \in \{0, 1\}$,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor f(n) \rfloor} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-m} \delta_{sur}(V, W_n[\ell, \ell + m]) < n \cdot f(n).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(\binom{n+1}{2} \delta(V, W_n) > n \cdot f(n) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-m} \delta_{sur}(V, W_n[\ell, \ell + m]) > n \cdot f(n) \right) \\ &< \mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{m=\lceil f(n) \rceil}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-m} \delta_{sur}(V, W_n[\ell, \ell + m]) > 0 \right) \\ &< \sum_{m=\lceil f(n) \rceil}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-m} \mathbb{P}(\delta_{sur}(V, W_n[\ell, \ell + m]) > 0) \\ &= \sum_{m=\lceil f(n) \rceil}^n (n - m + 1) \mathbb{P}(\delta_{sur}(V, W_m) = 1) \\ &\leq \sum_{m=\lceil f(n) \rceil}^n (n - m + 1) \binom{m/d + k + 1}{k + 1} q^{m(1-r)/r} \\ &< n(n - m + 1) \binom{n/d + k + 1}{k + 1} q^{f(n)(1-r)/r} \\ &< n^{k+3} q^{f(n)(1-r)/r}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.5. *Let V be a doubled word, Σ an alphabet with $q \geq 2$ letters, and $W_n \in \Sigma^n$ chosen uniformly at random. Then the p th raw moment and the p th central moment of $\delta(V, W_n)$ are both $O((\log(n)/n)^p)$.*

Proof. Let us use Lemma 4.4 to first bound the p th raw moments for $\delta(V, W_n)$, assuming $r \geq 2$. To minimize our bound, generalize the threshold function from Theorem 4.3:

$$s_p(n) = \frac{r}{1-r} \log_q(n^{-(k+5+p)}) = t_p \log n,$$

where $t_p = r(k+5+p)/((r-1)\log(q)) > 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n)^p) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \mathbb{P}\left(\delta(V, W_n) = \frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right) \left(\frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right)^p \\ &< \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n \cdot s_p(n) \rfloor} \mathbb{P}\left(\delta(V, W_n) = \frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right) \left(\frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right)^p \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=\lfloor n \cdot s_p(n) \rfloor}^{\binom{n+1}{2}} n^{k+3} q^{s_p(n)(1-r)/r} \left(\frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right)^p \\ &< \left(\frac{n \cdot s_p(n)}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right)^p + n^{k+5} q^{s_p(n)(1-r)/r} \\ &= \left(\frac{nt_p \log n}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right)^p + n^{k+5} q^{\log_q(n^{-(k+5+p)})} \\ &= O_p\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^p\right). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $p = 1$, there exists some $c > 2$ such that $\mathbb{E}_n = \mathbb{E}(\delta(V, W_n))$ is at most $(c \log n)/n$. We use this upper bound on the expectation (first raw moment) to bound the central moments. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(|\delta(V, W_n) - \mathbb{E}_n|^p) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \mathbb{P}\left(\delta(V, W_n) = \frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right) \left|\frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}} - \mathbb{E}_n\right|^p \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n \cdot s_p(n) \rfloor} \mathbb{P}\left(\delta(V, W_n) = \frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right) \left(\frac{c \log n}{n}\right)^p \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=\lfloor n \cdot s_p(n) \rfloor}^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \mathbb{P}\left(\delta(V, W_n) = \frac{i}{\binom{n+1}{2}}\right) (1)^p \\ &< \left(\frac{c \log n}{n}\right)^p + n^{k+5} q^{s_p(n)(1-r)/r} \\ &= O_p\left(\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^p\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Question. For nondoubled word V , to what extent is the density of V in random words concentrated about its mean?

REFERENCES

1. K. A. Baker, G. F. McNulty, and W. Taylor, *Growth problems for avoidable words*, Theoretical Computer Science **69** (1989), no. 3, 319–345.
2. D. R. Bean, A. Ehrenfeucht, and G. F. McNulty, *Avoidable patterns in strings of symbols*, Pac. J. of Math. **85** (1979), no. 2, 261–294.
3. J. P. Bell and T. L. Goh, *Exponential lower bounds for the number of words of uniform length avoiding a pattern*, Information and Computation **205** (2007), 1295–1306.
4. F. Blanchet-Sadri and B. Woodhouse, *Strict bounds for pattern avoidance*, Theoretical Computer Science **506** (2013).
5. A. Burstein and S. Kitaev, *On unavoidable sets of word patterns*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **19** (2005), no. 2, 371–381.
6. J. Cooper and D. Rorabaugh, *Bounds on Zimin word avoidance*, Congressus Numerantium **222** (2014), 87–95.
7. ———, *Asymptotic density of Zimin words*, Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science **18** (2016), no. 3, #3.
8. J. D. Currie, *Pattern avoidance: themes and variations*, Theoretical Computer Science **339** (2005).
9. R. Głowiński and W. Rytter, *Observations on Compressed Pattern-Matching with Ranked Variables in Zimin Words*, Proceedings of PSC 2011 (J. J. Holub and J. Zdarek, eds.), Czech Technical University, Prague, 2011, p. 162172.
10. C. E. Heitsch, *Exact Distribution of Deletion Sizes for Unavoidable Strings*, Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on String Processing and Information Retrieval (SPIRE’01), IEEE Computer Society Press, Laguna de San Rafael, November 2001.
11. S. Janson, *New versions of Suen’s correlation inequality*, Random Structures & Algorithms **13** (1998), no. 3–4, 467–483.
12. M. Lothaire, *Algebraic Combinatorics on Words*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
13. L. Lovász, *Large Networks and Graph Limits*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2012.
14. F. P. Ramsey, *On a problem in formal logic*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **30** (1929), 264–286.
15. W. A. Stein et al., *Sage Mathematics Software (Version 6.1.1)*, 2014, <http://www.sagemath.org>.
16. J. Tao, *Pattern occurrence statistics and applications to the Ramsey theory of unavoidable patterns*, arXiv:1406.0450.
17. A. Thue, *Über unendliche Zeichenreihen*, Norske Vid. Skrifter I Mat.-Nat. Kl., vol. 7, Kristiania, 1906.
18. A. I. Zimin, *Blokirujushhie mnozhestva termov*, Mat. Sb. **119** (1982), 363–375.
19. ———, *Blocking sets of terms*, Math. USSR-Sb. **47** (1984), 353–364.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA
E-mail address: `cooper@math.sc.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, QUEEN’S UNIVERSITY
E-mail address: `rorabaugh@mast.queensu.ca`