A BIJECTION BETWEEN NONCROSSING AND NONNESTING PARTITIONS OF TYPES A, B AND C
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ABSTRACT. The total number of noncrossing partitions of type Ψ is equal to the nth Catalan number \( \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \) when Ψ = An−1, and to the corresponding binomial coefficient \( \binom{2n}{n} \) when Ψ = Bn or Cn. These numbers coincide with the corresponding number of nonnesting partitions. For type A, there are several bijective proofs of this equality; in particular, the intuitive map, which locally converts each crossing to a nesting, is one of them. In this paper we present a bijection between nonnesting and noncrossing partitions of types A, B and C that generalizes the type A bijection that locally converts each crossing to a nesting.

1. INTRODUCTION

The poset of noncrossing partitions can be defined in a uniform way for any finite Coxeter group W. More precisely, for \( u, w \in W \), let \( u \leq w \) if there is a shortest factorization of \( w \) as a product of reflections in \( W \) having as prefix such a shortest factorization for \( u \). This partial order turns \( W \) into a graded poset \( \text{Abs}(W) \) having the identity 1 as its unique minimal element, where the rank of \( w \) is the length of a shortest factorization of \( w \) into reflections. Let \( c \) be a Coxeter element of \( W \). Since all Coxeter elements in \( W \) are conjugate to each other, the interval \([1,c]\) in \( \text{Abs}(W) \) is independent, up to isomorphism, of the choice of \( c \). We denote this interval by \( \text{NC}(W) \) or \( \text{NC}(\Psi) \), where Ψ is the Cartan-Killing type of \( W \), and call it the poset of noncrossing partitions of \( W \). It is a self-dual, graded lattice which reduces to the classical lattice of noncrossing partitions of the set \([n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\) defined by Kreweras in [10] when \( W \) is the symmetric group \( S_n \) (the Coxeter group of type \( A_{n-1} \)), and to its type B and C analogues, defined by Reiner [12] when \( W \) is the hyperoctahedral group. The elements in \( \text{NC}(W) \) are...
counted by the generalized Catalan numbers,

\[ Cat(W) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{d_i + h}{d_i}, \]

where \( k \) is the number of simple reflections in \( W \), \( h \) is the Coxeter number and \( d_1, \ldots, d_k \) are the degrees of the fundamental invariants of \( W \) (see [1, 7, 8, 12] for details on the theory of Coxeter groups and noncrossing partitions). When \( W \) is the symmetric group, \( Cat(\mathfrak{S}_n) \) is equal to the usual \( n^{\text{th}} \) Catalan number \( \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \), and in types \( B_n \) and \( C_n \) this number is the binomial coefficient \( \binom{2n}{n} \).

Nonnesting partitions were defined by Postnikov (see [12, Remark 2]) in a uniform way for all irreducible root systems associated with Weyl groups. If \( \Phi \) is such a system, \( \Phi^+ \) is a choice of positive roots, and \( \Delta \) is the simple system in \( \Phi^+ \), define the root order on \( \Phi^+ \) by \( \alpha \leq \beta \) if \( \alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+ \) and \( \beta - \alpha \) is in the positive integer span of the simple roots in \( \Delta \). Equipped with this partial order, \( \Phi^+ \) is the root poset of the associated Weyl group \( W \). A nonnesting partition on \( \Phi \) is defined as an antichain in root poset \( (\Phi^+, \leq) \), that is, a set of pairwise incomparable elements. Denote by \( \text{NN}(W) \) or by \( \text{NN}(\Psi) \), where \( \Psi \) is the Cartan-Killing type of \( W \), the set of all nonnesting partitions of \( W \). Postnikov showed that the nonnesting partitions in \( \text{NN}(W) \) are also counted by the generalized Catalan number \( Cat(W) \).

In the case of the root systems of type \( A \), different bijective proofs of the equality between the cardinals \( |\text{NN}(A_{n-1})| = |\text{NC}(A_{n-1})| \) are known (see [1, 2, 3, 9, 14]), and more recently several bijections between noncrossing and nonnesting partitions of classical types have been constructed (see [6, 11, 13, 14]) but all of them have different designs and settings. Our contribution in this paper is to present a uniform proof that \( |\text{NN}(\Psi)| = |\text{NC}(\Psi)| \), for \( \Psi = A_{n-1}, B_n \) or \( C_n \) that generalizes the bijection presented by Armstrong in [1]. Our ideas have been used to construct a bijection between noncrossing and nonnesting partitions of type \( D \) [5]. This paper is the complete version of the extended abstract [11] and, moreover, contains an extention of the bijection to the type \( C \).

2. Noncrossing and nonnesting set partitions

A set partition of \([n]\) is a collection of nonempty disjoint subsets of \([n]\), called blocks, whose union is \([n]\). The type of a set partition \( \pi \) of \([n]\) is the integer partition formed by the cardinals of the blocks of \( \pi \). A set partition of \([n]\) of type \((2, \ldots, 2, 1, \ldots, 1)\) is called a partial matching, and a set partition of \([2n]\) of type \((2, 2, \ldots, 2)\) is said to be a (perfect) matching of \([2n]\).

A set partition can be graphically represented by placing the integers \(1, 2, \ldots, n\) along a line and drawing arcs above the line between \(i\) and \(j\) whenever \(i\) and \(j\) lie in the same block and no other element between them does so. A singleton of a set partition is a block which has only one element, so it corresponds to an isolated vertex in the graphical representation. For instance,
the graphical representation of the set partition \( \pi = \{\{1,3,4\}, \{2,6\}, \{5\}\} \) of type \((3,2,1)\) is displayed below:

![Graphical representation of \( \pi \)]

Given a set partition \( \pi \), let

\[
\begin{align*}
op(\pi) &= \{\text{least block elements of } \pi\}, \\
cl(\pi) &= \{\text{greatest block elements of } \pi\}, \text{ and} \\
tr(\pi) &= |n| \setminus (\op(\pi) \cup \cl(\pi)).
\end{align*}
\]

The elements of \( \op(\pi) \), \( \cl(\pi) \), and \( \tr(\pi) \) are called openers, closers, and transients, respectively. Graphically, the openers correspond to singletons and to vertices from which one arc begins and no arc ends, the closers correspond to singletons and to vertices to which one arc ends, and no arc begins, and the transients are the vertices from which one arc ends and another one begins.

In the example above, \( \op(\pi) = \{1,2,5\}, \cl(\pi) = \{4,5,6\} \) and \( \tr(\pi) = \{3\} \). The triples \( \zeta(\pi) = (\op(\pi), \tr(\pi), \cl(\pi)) \) encode useful information about the partition \( \pi \). For instance, the number of blocks is \( |\op(\pi)| = |\cl(\pi)| \), the number of singletons is \( |\op(\pi) \cap \cl(\pi)| \), \( \pi \) is a partial matching if and only if \( \tr(\pi) = \emptyset \), and \( \pi \) is a (perfect) matching if and only if \( \tr(\pi) = \emptyset \) and \( \op(\pi) \cap \cl(\pi) = \emptyset \).

A noncrossing partition of the set \([n]\) is a set partition of \([n]\) such that there are no \(a < b < c < d\), with \(a, c\) belonging to some block of the partition and \(b, d\) belonging to some other block. The set of noncrossing partitions of \([n]\), denoted by \(\NC(n)\), is a lattice for the refinement order. A nonnesting partition of the set \([n]\) is a partition of \([n]\) such that if \(a < b < c < d\) and \(a, d\) are consecutive elements of a block, then \(b\) and \(c\) are not both contained in some other block. The set of nonnesting partitions of \([n]\) will be denoted by \(\NN(n)\). Graphically, the noncrossing condition means that no two of the arcs cross, while the nonnesting condition means that no two arcs are nested one within the other.

The partition \( \pi = \{\{1,3,4\}, \{2,6\}, \{5\}\} \) represented above is neither noncrossing nor nonnesting since two of the arcs cross, and two of the arcs are nested one within the other. The partitions \( \{\{2,3\}, \{1,4,5\}\} \) and \( \{\{1,3\}, \{2,4,5\}\} \), represented below, are examples of noncrossing and nonnesting partitions of \([5]\):

![Graphical representation of noncrossing and nonnesting partitions]

As pointed out in [1], the intuitive map that locally converts each crossing to a nesting

![Intuitive map converting crossings to nestings]
defines a bijection between noncrossing and nonnesting set partitions that preserves the number of blocks. We will refer to this bijection as the L-map.

A \( B_n \) set partition \( \pi \) is a set partition of \( \{\pm n\} := \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm n\} \) which has at most one block (called the zero block) fixed by negation and is such that for any block \( B \) of \( \pi \), the set \(-B\), obtained by negating the elements of \( B \), is also a block of \( \pi \). The type of a \( B_n \) set partition \( \pi \) is the integer partition whose parts are the cardinalities of the blocks of \( \pi \), including one part for each pair of nonzero blocks \( B, -B \). Given a \( B_n \) set partition \( \pi \), let the set of openers \( \text{op}(\pi) \) be formed by the least element of all blocks of \( \pi \) having only positive integers; let the set of closers \( \text{cl}(\pi) \) be formed by the greatest element of all blocks of \( \pi \) having only positive integers and by the absolute values of the least and greatest elements of all blocks having positive and negative integers; and finally let the set of transients \( \text{tr}(\pi) \) be formed by all elements of \( \{n\} \) which are not in \( \text{op}(\pi) \cup \text{cl}(\pi) \).

Identifying the sets \( \{\pm n\} \) and \( \{2n\} \) through the map \( i \mapsto i \) for \( i \in \{n\} \) and \( i \mapsto n-i \) for \( i \in \{-1, -2, \ldots, -n\} \), we may represent \( B_n \) set partitions graphically using the conventions made for its type \( A \) analogs, placing the integers \(-1, -2, \ldots, -n, 1, 2, \ldots, n\) along a line instead of the usual 1, 2, \ldots, 2n.

**Example 2.1.** The \( B_5 \) set partition

\[
\pi = \{\{-1, 1\}, \{2, 3, 5\}, \{-2, -3, -5\}, \{4\}, \{-4\}\},
\]

represented below, has type \((3, 2, 1)\), set of openers \( \text{op}(\pi) = \{2, 4\} \), closers \( \text{cl}(\pi) = \{1, 4, 5\} \) and transients \( \text{tr}(\pi) = \{3\} \). The openers, closers and transients can be visualized as in type \( A \) by looking only at the arcs on the positive half of the representation of \( \pi \).

3. **Noncrossing and Nonnesting Partitions of Types A, B and C**

We will now review the usual combinatorial realizations of the Coxeter groups of types \( A, B \) and \( C \), referring to [8] for any undefined terminology. The Coxeter group \( W \) of type \( A_{n-1} \) is realized combinatorially as the symmetric group \( \mathfrak{S}_n \). The permutations in \( \mathfrak{S}_n \) will be written in cycle notation. The simple generators of \( \mathfrak{S}_n \) are the transpositions of adjacent integers \((i \ i + 1)\), for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1 \), and the reflections are the transpositions \((i \ j)\) for \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \). To any permutation \( \pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n \) we associate the partition of the set \( \{n\} \) given by its cycle structure. This defines an isomorphism between the posets \( \text{NC}(\mathfrak{S}_n) \) of noncrossing partitions of \( \mathfrak{S}_n \), defined in the introduction, and \( \text{NC}(n) \), with respect to the Coxeter element \( c = (12 \cdots n) \) [4, Theorem 1].

Denoting by \( e_1, \ldots, e_n \) the standard basis of \( \mathbb{R}^n \), the root system of type \( A_{n-1} \) consists of the set of vectors

\[
\Phi = \{e_i - e_j : i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\},
\]
each root $e_i - e_j$ defining a reflection that acts on $\mathbb{R}^n$ as the transposition $(i \; j)$. We shall identify the root $e_i - e_j$ with the corresponding transposition $(i \; j)$. Take

$$\Phi^+ = \{ e_i - e_j \in \Phi : i > j \}$$

for the set of positive roots and, defining $r_i := e_{i+1} - e_i, \; i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, we obtain the simple system $\Delta = \{ r_1, \ldots, r_{n-1} \}$ for $\mathfrak{S}_n$. Note that

$$e_i - e_j = \sum_{k=j}^{i-1} r_k \quad \text{if } i > j.$$

The correspondence between the antichains in the root poset $(\Phi^+, \leq)$ and the set of nonnesting partitions of $[n]$ is given by the bijection which sends the positive root $e_i - e_j$ to the set partition of $[n]$ having $i$ and $j$ in the same block. For instance, consider the root poset $(\Phi^+, \leq)$ of type $A_4$:

$$e_5 - e_1 \quad e_4 - e_1 \quad e_5 - e_2 \quad e_3 - e_1 \quad e_4 - e_2 \quad e_5 - e_3 \quad e_2 - e_1 \quad e_3 - e_2 \quad e_4 - e_3 \quad e_5 - e_4$$

The antichain $e_3 - e_1$ corresponds to the transposition $(13)$ in the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_5$, and thus to the nonnesting set partition $\{ \{1, 3\}, \{2\}, \{4\}, \{5\} \}$, while the antichain $\{e_3 - e_1, e_4 - e_2, e_5 - e_4\}$ corresponds to the product of transpositions $(13)(24)(45) = (13)(245)$ in $\mathfrak{S}_5$, and thus to the nonnesting set partition $\{ \{1, 3\}, \{2, 4, 5\} \}$.

Given a positive root $\alpha = e_i - e_j \in \Phi^+$, define the support of $\alpha$ as the set $\text{supp}(\alpha) = \{ j, j+1, \ldots, i-1 \}$. The elements in $\text{supp}(\alpha)$ correspond to the indices of the simple roots that appear with nonzero coefficient in the expansion of $\alpha$ as a linear combination of simple roots. The integers $j$ and $i-1$ will be called, respectively, the initial and terminal indices of $\alpha$. We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ be two roots in $\Phi^+$ with initial and terminal indices $i_1, j_1$ and $i_2, j_2$, respectively, such that $i_1 \leq i_2$. Then, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ form an antichain if and only if $i_1 < i_2$ and $j_1 < j_2$.

Consider now the Coxeter group $W$ of type $B_n$, with its usual combinatorial realization as the hyperoctahedral group of signed permutations of $[\pm n] := \{ \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm n \}$. These are permutations of $[\pm n]$ which commute with the involution $i \mapsto -i$. We will write the elements of $W$ in cycle notation, using commas between
elements. The simple generators of $W$ are the transposition $(-1, 1)$ and the pairs $(-i - 1, -i)(i, i + 1)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. The reflections in $W$ are the transpositions $(-i, i)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and the pairs of transpositions $(i, j)(-j, -i)$, for $i \neq j$.

Identifying the sets $[\pm n]$ and $[2n]$ through the map $i \mapsto i$ for $i \in [n]$ and $i \mapsto n - i$ for $i \in \{-1, -2, \ldots, -n\}$, allows us to identify the hyperoctahedral group $W$ with the subgroup $U$ of $S_{2n}$ which commutes with the permutation $(1, n + 1)(2, n + 2) \cdots (n, 2n)$. For example, the signed permutations $(1, 3)$ and $(2, -3)(-2, 3)$ in the hyperoctahedral group of type $B_3$ correspond to the permutations $(13)$ and $(26)(53)$ in the symmetric group $S_6$. The set $NC(U)$ is a sublattice of $NC(S_{2n})$, isomorphic to $NC(W)$ (see [1]). It follows that the map sending a signed permutation of $[\pm n]$ to the $B_n$ set partition of $[\pm n]$ given by its cycle structure defines an isomorphism between $NC(W)$ and the $B_n$ set partitions in which no two arcs cross.

The type $B_n$ root system consists on the set of $2n^2$ vectors

$$\Phi = \{ \pm e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \cup \{ \pm e_i \pm e_j : i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n \},$$

and we take

$$\Phi^+ = \{ e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \cup \{ e_i \pm e_j : 1 \leq j < i \leq n \}$$

as a choice of positive roots. Changing the notation slightly from the one used for $S_n$, let $r_1 := e_1$ and $r_i := e_i - e_{i-1}$, for $i = 2, \ldots, n$. The set

$$\Delta := \{ r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n \}$$

is a simple system for $W$, and easy computations show that

$$e_i = \sum_{k=1}^{i} r_k,$$

$$e_i - e_j = \sum_{k=j+1}^{i} r_k \quad \text{if } i > j,$$

$$e_i + e_j = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{j} r_k + \sum_{k=j+1}^{i} r_k \quad \text{if } i > j.$$

Each root $e_i, e_i - e_j$ and $e_i + e_j$ defines a reflection that acts on $\mathbb{R}^n$ as the permutation $(i, -i)$, $(i, j)(-i, -j)$ and $(i, -j)(-i, j)$, respectively, and we will identify the roots with the corresponding permutations.

A graphical representation of a nonnesting partition $\pi \in \text{NN}(B_n)$ can be drawn by placing the integers $-n, \ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$, in this order, along a line and arcs between them as follows: for $i, j \in [n]$, we include an arc between $i$ and $j$, and between $-i$ and $-j$, if $\pi$ contains the root $e_i - e_j$; an arc between $i$ and $-j$, and between $-i$ and $j$ if $\pi$ contains the root $e_i + e_j$; and arcs between $i$ and 0 and $-i$ and 0 if $\pi$ contains the root $e_i$. The presence of 0 in the ground set for nonnesting partitions is necessary to correctly represent (when present) the arc between a positive
number $i$ and its negative (see [2]). The chains of successive arcs in the diagram become the blocks of a $B_n$ set partition, after dropping 0, which is the partition we associate to $\pi$. This map defines a bijection between nonnesting partitions of $W$ and $B_n$ set partitions whose diagrams, in the above sense, contain no two arcs nested one within the other. We call this diagram the nonnesting graphical representation of $\pi$, to distinguish it from the graphical representation of the $B_n$ set partition associated to $\pi$.

**Example 3.2.** Consider the root poset of type $B_3$ is displayed below:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
e_3 + e_2 \\
\downarrow \\
e_3 + e_1 \\
\downarrow \\
e_2 + e_1 \\
\downarrow \\
e_3 \quad e_2 \quad e_1 \\
\downarrow \\
e_2 - e_1 \quad e_3 - e_1 \quad e_3 - e_2 \\
\end{array}
\]

In this root poset, the antichain $\{e_2 + e_1, e_3\}$ corresponds to the $B_3$ set partition $\pi = \{(3, -3), (1, -2), (-1, 2)\}$, and thus to signed permutation $(3, -3)(1, -2)(-1, 2)$. The nonnesting graphical representation of $\pi$ is given by

![Diagram](image)

Given the positive root $e_i + e_j$, with $i > j$, define its support by

$$\text{supp}(e_i + e_j) = \{1^d, 2^d, \ldots, j^d, j + 1, \ldots, i\},$$

and let $\text{supp}(e_i + e_j) = \{1, 2, \ldots, i\}$. As in type $A$, the integers $1, \ldots, i$ correspond to the set of indices of the simple roots that appear with nonzero coefficient in the expansion of $\alpha$ as a linear combination of simple roots, and the symbol $k^d$ indicates that the coefficient of $r_k$ in such decomposition is 2. The positive root $e_i + e_j$ is said to have double coefficients, and the integer $j$ is called the terminal double index of $e_i + e_j$. We will need also to consider the set $D_{e_i + e_j} = \{2, \ldots, j\}$. For the other two kinds of positive roots, $e_i$ and $e_i - e_j$, we define the correspondent support by $\text{supp}(e_i) = \text{supp}(e_i) = \{1, \ldots, i\}$, $\text{supp}(e_i - e_j) = \text{supp}(e_i - e_j) = \{j + 1, \ldots, i\}$, and $D_{e_i} = D_{e_i - e_j} = \emptyset$. The initial and terminal indices of $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ are, respectively, the least and greatest elements in $\text{supp}(\alpha)$. We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be two roots in $\Phi^+$ with initial indices $i, i'$ and terminal indices $j, j'$, respectively, such that $i \leq i'$. If neither $\alpha$ nor $\beta$ have
double coefficients, then \( \{ \alpha, \beta \} \) is an antichain if and only if \( i < i' \) and \( j < j' \). If \( \alpha \) has double coefficients, then \( \{ \alpha, \beta \} \) is an antichain if and only if \( j < j' \) and \( D_\alpha \supset D_\beta \).

The root system of type \( C_n \) is obtained from the root system of type \( B_n \) by replacing the roots \( e_i \) by \( 2e_i \),

\[
\Phi = \{ \pm 2e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \cup \{ \pm e_i \pm e_j : i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n \}.
\]

For \( n \geq 3 \), the two root systems are not congruent, but their corresponding Weyl groups, generated by reflections orthogonal to the roots, are clearly the same. Thus, the relations between roots of type \( C_n \) and signed permutations of \( [\pm n] \) is the same as in type \( B_n \). We let

\[
\Phi^+ = \{ 2e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \cup \{ e_i \pm e_j : 1 \leq j < i \leq n \}
\]

as a choice of positive roots, and put \( r_1 := 2e_1 \) and \( r_i := e_i - e_{i-1} \), for \( i = 2, \ldots, n \). The set

\[
\Delta := \{ r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n \}
\]

is a simple system for \( W \), and easy computations show that

\[
2e_i = r_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{i} 2r_k,
\]

\[
e_i - e_j = \sum_{k=j+1}^{i} r_k \quad \text{if } i > j,
\]

\[
e_i + e_j = r_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{j} 2r_k + \sum_{k=j+1}^{i} r_k \quad \text{if } i > j,
\]

\[
e_i + e_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{i} r_k.
\]

The notions of \( C_n \) set partition and noncrossing partitions of type \( C_n \) coincide with its type \( B_n \) analogs, as well as the notions of opener, closer and transient. Also, the nonnesting diagram associated to a nonnesting partition \( \pi \in \text{NN}(C_n) \) is determined as in type \( B_n \), except that \( i \) and \( -i \) are connected by an arc if \( \pi \) contains \( 2e_i \) and that 0 does not appear in the diagram. Again, this map defines a bijection between nonnesting partitions of type \( C_n \) and \( C_n \) set partitions whose diagrams, in the above sense, contain no two arcs nested one within the other.
Example 3.4. Consider the root poset of type $C_3$:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{2} e_3 \\
\phantom{2} e_3 + e_2 \\
\phantom{2} 2e_2 \\
\phantom{2} e_2 + e_1 \\
\phantom{2} 2e_1 \\
\end{array}
\]

In this root poset, the antichain $\{2e_2, e_3 + e_1\}$ corresponds to the $C_3$ set partition $\pi = \{\{2, -2\}, \{1, -3\}, \{-1, 3\}\}$, and thus to the signed permutation $(2, -2)(1, -3)(-1, 3)$. Its nonnesting diagram is represented by

Note that this set partition $\pi$ is not a nonnesting partition of type $B_3$, since, considered in type $B_3$, there would be an arc linking 0 to 2 which would be nested by the arc linking $-1$ to 3.

Import to type $C$ the notions of double coefficient and support of a positive root. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be two roots in $\Phi^+$ with first indices $i$, $i'$ and last indices $j$, $j'$, respectively, such that $i \leq i'$. If neither $\alpha$ nor $\beta$ have double coefficients, then $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is an antichain if and only if $i < i'$ and $j < j'$. If $\alpha$ has double coefficients, then $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is an antichain if and only if $j < j'$ and $D_\alpha \supset D_\beta$.

Although the root systems of type $B_n$ and $C_n$ are not congruent, there is a simple connection between the antichains in $\text{NN}(B_n)$ and those in $\text{NN}(C_n)$.

Proposition 3.6. There is a bijection $\tau$ between antichains in the root posets of types $B_n$ and $C_n$ which preserves the triples $(\text{op}, \text{cl}, \text{tr})$.

Proof. First, notice that if $\pi \in \text{NN}(B_n)$ does not have the positive root $e_k$, for $k \in [n]$, then the set $\tau(\pi) := \pi$ is also an antichain in $\text{NN}(C_n)$ which does not have the positive root $2e_k$, and both $\pi$ and $\tau(\pi)$ correspond to the same $B_n$ ($C_n$) set partition. In particular, $\Xi(\pi) = \Xi(\tau(\pi))$.

Similarly, if $\pi$ possesses the root $e_k$, but it does not have any root with double coefficients, then the set $\tau(\pi)$, obtained by replacing $e_k$ by $2e_k$, is again an antichain in $\text{NN}(C_n)$ and both $\pi$ and $\tau(\pi)$ correspond to the same $B_n$ ($C_n$) set partition. Again, we find that $\Xi(\pi) = \Xi(\tau(\pi))$. 
Assume now that \( \pi \in \text{NN}(B_n) \) has a positive root with double coefficients and also has the root \( e_k \), for some \( k \in [n] \). Notice that in this case, by lemma 3.5, the \( B_n \) \((C_n)\) set partition associated with \( \pi \) does not correspond to an antichain in \( \text{NN}(C_n) \). Let \( \pi' = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_\ell\} \) be the antichain formed by all the roots in \( \pi \) having double coefficients and by the root \( e_k \). If

\[
\alpha_1 = e_a + e_b, \quad \alpha_2 = e_c + e_d, \quad \alpha_3 = e_e + e_f, \quad \ldots, \quad \alpha_\ell - 1 = e_g + e_h, \quad \alpha_\ell = e_k
\]

with \( g < \cdots < e < c < a < b < d < f < \cdots < h < k \), then define the following \( C_n \) roots

\[
\alpha'_1 = 2e_b, \quad \alpha'_2 = e_a + e_d, \quad \alpha'_3 = e_c + e_f, \quad \ldots, \quad \alpha'_\ell = e_g + e_k.
\]

Let \( \tau(\pi) \) be the set formed by replacing each root \( \alpha_i, i = 1, \ldots, \ell \), in \( \pi \) by the \( C_n \) roots \( \alpha'_i, i = 1, \ldots, \ell \). By lemma 3.5, \( \tau(\pi) \) is an antichain in \( \text{NN}(C_n) \) and it is straightforward to see that \( \mathfrak{I}(\pi) = \mathfrak{I}(\tau(\pi)) \). Also, notice that by lemma 3.3, the \( B_n \) \((C_n)\) set partition associated with \( \tau(\pi) \) does not correspond to an antichain in \( \text{NN}(B_n) \).

This map \( \tau \) is clearly injective, and since both \( \text{NN}(B_n) \) and \( \text{NN}(C_n) \) have the same cardinality, it establishes a bijection between antichains in the root posets of types \( B_n \) and \( C_n \) which preserves the triples \( \{\text{op, cl, tr}\} \). \( \square \)

**Example 3.7.** Consider the antichain

\[
\pi = \{e_2 + e_3, e_4, e_5 - e_2\}
\]

is \( \text{NN}(B_5) \), associated to the \( B_5 \) \((C_5)\) set partition

\[
\{\{4, -4\}, \{-3, 2, 5\}, \{-5, -2, 3\}, \{1\}, \{-1\}\}.
\]

Its nonnesting diagram is represented by

![Diagram](image)

Note that this set partition does not correspond to a nonnesting diagram of type \( C_5 \), since in this case the arc linking \(-4\) to \( 4 \) would nest the arc between \(-3\) and \( 2 \). Applying the construction given in the proposition above, we get the antichain

\[
\tau(\pi) = \{2e_3, e_4 + e_2, e_5 - e_2\}
\]

in \( \text{NN}(C_5) \), corresponding to the \( B_5 \) \((C_5)\) set partition

\[
\{\{3, -3\}, \{-4, 2, 5\}, \{-5, -2, 4\}, \{1\}, \{-1\}\},
\]

whose nonnesting diagram is represented by

![Diagram](image)

As before, the \( B_5 \) \((C_5)\) set partition associated with \( \tau(\pi) \) does not correspond to a nonnesting diagram of type \( B_5 \), since in this case the arc linking \(-3\) to \( 0 \) would be nested by the arc linking \(-4\) to \( 2 \).
In what follows we will identify antichains in the root posets of types $B_n$ and $C_n$ by the bijection $\tau$, i.e., if $\pi$ is an antichain in $\text{NN}(C_n)$, we will consider its image $\tau(\pi)$ in $\text{NN}(B_n)$.

4. Main result

Let $\Phi$ denote a root system of type $A$, $B$ or $C$, and let $\Phi^+$ and $\Delta$ be defined as above. In view of lemmas 3.1 and 3.3, we consider antichains $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\}$ in $\Phi^+$ as ordered $m$-tuples numbered so that if $i_\ell$ is the terminal index of $\alpha_\ell$, then $i_1 < \cdots < i_m$.

Definition 4.1. Given two positive roots $\alpha$ and $\beta$, with $\beta$ having no double coefficient, and such that the intersection of their supports is nonempty, define their $\oplus$-sum, denoted $\alpha \oplus \beta$, and their $\ominus$-difference, denoted $\alpha \ominus \beta$, as the positive roots with supports
\[
\text{supp}(\alpha \oplus \beta) := \text{supp}(\alpha) \cup (\text{supp}(\beta) \setminus \text{supp}(\alpha))
\]
and
\[
\text{supp}(\alpha \ominus \beta) := \text{supp}(\alpha) \cap \text{supp}(\beta),
\]
respectively. If moreover $\alpha$ has double coefficients, then define also the $\ominus^d$-difference, denoted $\alpha \ominus^d \beta$, as the positive root with support
\[
\text{supp}(\alpha \ominus^d \beta) := D_\alpha \cap \text{supp}(\beta).
\]

Example 4.2. Given the type $B_3$ positive roots $\alpha = e_3 + e_2$ and $\beta = e_4 - e_1$ we have
\[
\alpha \oplus \beta = e_4 + e_2, \quad \alpha \ominus \beta = e_3 - e_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \ominus^d \beta = e_2 - e_1.
\]

An antichain $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ is said to be connected if the intersection of the supports of any two adjacent roots $\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}$ is nonempty. The connected components
\[
(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i), \quad (\alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_j), \quad \ldots, \quad (\alpha_k, \ldots, \alpha_m)
\]
of an antichain $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ are the connected sub-antichains of $\alpha$ for which the supports of the union of the roots in any two distinct components are disjoint. For instance, the antichain $(e_2, e_3 - e_1, e_4 - e_3)$ has the connected components $(e_2, e_3 - e_1)$ and $e_4 - e_3$. We will use lower and upper arcs to match two roots in a connected antichain in a geometric manner. Two roots linked by a lower [respectively upper] arc are said to be l-linked [respectively, u-linked]. In what follows we will identify each root with the correspondent permutation.

For clarity of the exposition, we start by presenting the map for type $A$.

Definition 4.3. Define the map $f$ from the set $\text{NN}(A_{n-1})$ into $\text{NC}(A_{n-1})$ recursively as follows. When $\alpha_1$ is a positive root we set $f(\alpha_1) := \alpha_1$.

If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ is a connected antichain with $m \geq 2$, then define
\[
f(\alpha) := \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_k \right) f(\overline{\alpha_2}, \ldots, \overline{\alpha_m}),
\]
where \( \vec{\alpha}_k = \alpha_{k-1} \ominus \alpha_k \) for \( k = 2, \ldots, m \).

For the general case, if \( (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i), (\alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_j), \ldots, (\alpha_k, \ldots, \alpha_m) \) are the connected components of \( (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \), let

\[
f(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) := f(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i) f(\alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_j) \cdots f(\alpha_k, \ldots, \alpha_m).
\]

By its definition, it is clear that \( (\vec{\alpha}_2, \ldots, \vec{\alpha}_m) \) is an antichain, which need not be connected. In the next definition we will generalize the map \( f \) to types \( B_n \) and \( C_n \). Before, however, let’s consider the following example.

**Example 4.4.** Consider the antichain

\[
\alpha = (e_3 - e_1, e_4 - e_2, e_6 - e_3, e_7 - e_4, e_8 - e_5)
\]

in the root poset of type \( A_7 \), corresponding to the permutation \((136)(247)(58)\) in the symmetric group \( S_8 \). Applying the map \( f \) to \( \alpha \), we get the noncrossing partition

\[
f(\alpha) = (e_8 - e_1)f(e_3 - e_2, e_4 - e_3, e_6 - e_4, e_7 - e_5)
= (e_8 - e_1)(e_3 - e_2)(e_4 - e_3)f(e_6 - e_4, e_7 - e_5)
= (e_8 - e_1)(e_3 - e_2)(e_4 - e_3)(e_7 - e_4)(e_6 - e_5)
\equiv (18)(2347)(56),
\]

whose graphical representation is given by

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
\end{array}
\]

Consider now the general case, where \( \Phi \) denotes a root system of type \( A, B \) or \( C \).

**Definition 4.5.** Define the map \( f \) from the set \( \text{NN}(\Phi) \) into \( \text{NC}(\Phi) \) recursively as follows. When \( \alpha_1 \) is a positive root we set \( f(\alpha_1) := \alpha_1 \). If \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) \) is a connected antichain with \( m \geq 2 \), we have two cases:

(a) If there are no double coefficients in the antichain, then as in the previous definition we set

\[
f(\alpha) := \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_k \right) f(\vec{\alpha}_2, \ldots, \vec{\alpha}_m),
\]

where \( \vec{\alpha}_k = \alpha_{k-1} \ominus \alpha_k \) for \( k = 2, \ldots, m \).

(b) Assume now that \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell \) have double coefficients, for some \( \ell \geq 1 \), and \( \alpha_{\ell+1}, \ldots, \alpha_m \) have none. Let \( \Gamma_d := (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell) \) and \( \Gamma := (\alpha_{\ell+1}, \ldots, \alpha_m) \). We start by introducing \( l \)-links as follows.

Let \( m' \) be the largest index of elements in \( \Gamma \) such that the following holds: \( \alpha_{m'} \) has initial index \( i \neq 1 \), so that there is a rightmost element in \( \Gamma_d \), say \( \alpha_k \), with \( i \in D_{\alpha_k} \). If there is such an integer \( m' \), \( l \)-link \( \alpha_k \) with \( \alpha_m \). Then, ignore \( \alpha_k \) and \( \alpha_{m'} \) and proceed with the
remaining roots as before. This procedure terminates after a finite number of steps (and not all elements of $\alpha$ need to be l-linked).

Next proceed by introducing u-links in $\alpha$. The starting point of u-links, which we consider drawn from right to left, will be elements in $\Gamma$ that have no initial index 1 and are not l-linked. We will refer to these elements as admissible roots. So, let $m'$ be the smallest integer such that the following holds: $\alpha_{m'}$ is an admissible root with initial index $i \neq 1$ so that there is a leftmost element, say $\alpha_k$, not yet u-linked to an element on its right and such that $i \in \text{supp}(\alpha_k)$. If there is such an integer $m'$, u-link $\alpha_k$ with $\alpha_{m'}$. Remove $\alpha_{m'}$ from the set of admissible roots and proceed as before. Again this process terminates after a finite number of steps.

Finally, let $T = \{t_1 < \cdots < t_p\}$ be the collection of all terminal double indices of the roots in $\Gamma_d$ not l-linked, and all the terminal indices of the roots in $\alpha$ not u-linked to an element on its right. Then, define

$$f(\alpha) := \pi_1 \cdots \pi_{\ell} \pi_0 \theta_1 \cdots \theta_q f(\theta_{q+1}, \ldots, \theta_s),$$

where for $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$, $\pi_j = e_{j'} + e_{j''}$, with $j'$ and $j''$ respectively the leftmost and rightmost integers in $T$ not considered yet; $\pi_0$ is either the root $e_{i_j}$, if the initial index of $\alpha_{i_{j+1}}$ is 1, with $i_j$ the only integer in $T$ not used yet for defining the roots $\pi_j$, or the identity otherwise; each $\theta_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, q$ is the $\ominus^d$-difference of l-linked roots, starting from the rightmost one in $\Gamma_d$, and each $\theta_j$, $j = q + 1, \ldots, s$ is the $\ominus$-difference of u-linked roots, starting from the leftmost one in $\Gamma$.

(c) For the general case, if $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i), (\alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_j), \ldots, (\alpha_k, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ are the connected components of $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$, let

$$f(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m) := f(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i)f(\alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_j) \cdots f(\alpha_k, \ldots, \alpha_m).$$

Remark: (i) Notice that the type A case is given by conditions (a) and (c) of the above definition. Also, note that if all roots in $\alpha$ have double coefficients then condition (b) is vacuous and the map $f$ reduces to the identity map. We point out that the number of roots in $f(\alpha)$ is equal to the number of roots in the antichain $\alpha$.

(ii) The sequence $(\overline{\alpha}_2, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_m)$ obtained in step (a) is a (not necessarily connected) antichain. It is easy to check that after all l-links and all u-links are settled, the set $T$ has an odd number of elements if and only if the initial index of $\alpha_{i_{j+1}}$ is 1. Thus, the root $\pi_0$ given in condition (b) is well defined.

We will show that $f$ establishes a bijection between the sets $\text{NN}(\Psi)$ and $\text{NC}(\Psi)$, for $\Psi = A_{n-1}, B_n$ or $C_n$. Before, however, we present some examples.

Example 4.7. Consider now the antichain

$$\alpha = (e_5 + e_4, e_6 + e_2, e_7, e_8 - e_2, e_9 - e_3)$$
in the root poset $B_9$. Following definition 4.5, we get the $l$-links and the $u$-links

\[ \alpha = (e_6 + e_5, e_7 + e_2, e_8 + e_3, e_9 - e_1, e_{10} - e_4, e_{11} - e_6) \]

Therefore, $T = \{2, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ and the application of $f$ to $\alpha$ yields

\[ f(\alpha) = (e_9 + e_2)(e_8 + e_6)(e_7)(e_4 - e_3)f(e_5 - e_2) \]
\[ \equiv (2, -9)(-2, 9)(6, -8)(-6, 8)(7, -7)(3, 4)(-3, -4)(2, 5)(-2, -5) \]
\[ = (2, 5, -9)(-2, -5, 9)(6, -8)(-6, 8)(7, -7)(3, 4)(-3, -4). \]

The image $f(\alpha)$ is a noncrossing partition in $[\pm 9]$, as we may check in its representation.

\[ -1 -2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -7 -8 -9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 \]

Example 4.8. For a final example, consider the antichain

\[ \alpha = (e_6 + e_5, e_7 + e_4, e_8 + e_3, e_9 - e_1, e_{10} - e_4, e_{11} - e_6) \]
in the root poset of type $B_{11}$. The l-links and u-links are shown below, so that $T = \{4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11\}$:

\[ \alpha = (e_6 + e_5, e_7 + e_4, e_8 + e_3, e_9 - e_1, e_{10} - e_4, e_{11} - e_6) \]

Therefore, the application of $f$ to $\alpha$ gives

\[ f(\alpha) = (e_{11} + e_4)(e_{10} + e_6)(e_9 + e_3)(e_8 - e_1)(e_5 - e_4)f(e_7 - e_6) \]
and thus, $f(\alpha)$ is the noncrossing partition

\[ (4, -11)(-4, 11)(6, -10)(-6, 10)(8, -9)(-8, 9) \]
\[ \cdot (1, 3)(-1, -3)(4, 5)(-4, -5)(6, 7)(-6, -7) \]
\[ = (4, 5, -11)(-4, -5, 11)(6, 7, -10) \]
\[ \cdot (-6, -7, 10)(8, -9)(-8, 9)(1, 3)(-1, -3) \]

represented by

\[ -1 -2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -7 -8 -9 -10 -11 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 \]
Lemma 4.9. If $\alpha \in \NN(B_n)$ then $f(\alpha) \in \NC(B_n)$, and $\Xi(\alpha) = \Xi(f(\alpha))$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ be an antichain in the root poset of type $B_n$, and let $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_w)$ be its first connected component. Start by assuming that $1 \notin \supp(\alpha_i)$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$. We will use induction on $m \geq 1$ to show that in this case $f(\alpha)$ is a noncrossing partition on the set $\{i - 1, \ldots, q, -(i - 1), \ldots, -q\}$, where $i$ is the initial index of $\alpha_1$ and $q$ is the terminal index of $\alpha_m$, and such that each positive integer is sent to another positive integer. The result is clear when $m = 1$. So, let $m \geq 2$ and assume that the result holds for antichains of length less than, or equal to $m - 1$. Then, we may write

$$f(\alpha) = \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^{w} \alpha_k \right) f(\pi_2, \ldots, \pi_w) f(\alpha_{w+1}, \ldots, \alpha_m),$$

where each $\pi_k = \alpha_{k-1} \oplus \alpha_k$, for $k = 2, \ldots, w$. By the inductive step, $f(\pi_2, \ldots, \pi_w) \equiv \pi_1$ and $f(\alpha_{w+1}, \ldots, \alpha_m) \equiv \pi_2$ are noncrossing partitions on the sets

$$\{a - 1, \ldots, b, -(a - 1), \ldots, -b\} \text{ and } \{p - 1, \ldots, q, -(p - 1), \ldots, -q\},$$

respectively, where $a$ and $p$ are the initial indices of $\pi_2$ and $\alpha_{w+1}$, respectively, and $b$ and $q$ are the terminal indices of $\pi_w$ and $\alpha_m$, respectively. Moreover, all positive integers are sent to positive ones by $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$. Denoting by $j$ the terminal index of $\alpha_w$, we get

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^{w} \alpha_k = e_j - e_i = (i - 1, j)(-(i - 1), -j)$$

with $i - 1 < a - 1 < b < j \leq p - 1 < q$. Therefore

$$f(\alpha) \equiv (i - 1, j)(-(i - 1), -j)\pi_1\pi_2$$

is a noncrossing partition on the set $\{i - 1, \ldots, q, -(i - 1), \ldots, -q\}$ sending each positive integer to another positive integer.

Note that for the rest of the proof, we may assume without loss of generality that $\alpha$ is connected, since none of the connected components of an antichain, except possibly for the first one, have double coefficients, and therefore their images are noncrossing partitions sending each positive integer to another positive integer.

Suppose now that $1 \in \supp(\alpha_1)$. We will show that $f(\alpha)$ is a noncrossing partition on the set $\{i - 1, \ldots, q, -(i - 1), \ldots, -q\}$, where $i$ is the initial index of $\alpha_2$ and $q$ is the terminal index of $\alpha_m$, and such that one and only one positive integer is sent to a negative one. The result is certainly true for $m = 1$, and when $m > 1$ we have

$$f(\alpha) = \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_k \right) f(\pi_2, \ldots, \pi_m),$$

where $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_k \equiv (q, -q)$, and $\pi_k = \alpha_{k-1} \oplus \alpha_k$ for $k = 2, \ldots, m$. By the previous case, $f(\pi_2, \ldots, \pi_m) \equiv \pi$ is a noncrossing partition on the set $\{i - 1, \ldots, j, -(i - 1), \ldots, -j\}$, with $i$ the initial index of $\alpha_2$ and $j < q$. The result is $$\Xi(\alpha) = \Xi(f(\alpha)),$$ as required.
the terminal index of $\alpha_{m-1}$. Therefore, $f(\alpha) \equiv (q, -q)\pi$ is a noncrossing partition satisfying the desired conditions.

Next, assume that $\alpha$ satisfies condition (b) of definition 4.5, and consider its image

$$f(\alpha) = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_\ell \pi_0 \theta_1 \cdots \theta_q f(\theta_{q+1}, \ldots, \theta_s).$$

By the construction of the set $T$, it follows that each $D_{\alpha_j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$, is contained in $D_{\pi_i}$, for some $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, and that $\pi_1 \cdots \pi_\ell \pi_0$ is a noncrossing partition, sending each nonfixed positive integer to a negative one. Note also that the support of each $\theta_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, q$, is contained in some $D_{\alpha_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$, and therefore, in some $D_{\pi_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Moreover, the supports of any two roots $\theta_i$ and $\theta_j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq q$, are either disjoint, or one of them is contained into the other one. Therefore $\theta_1 \cdots \theta_q$ is a noncrossing partition sending each nonfixed positive integer into another positive integer. By the previous cases, $f(\theta_{q+1}, \ldots, \theta_s)$ is also a noncrossing partition sending each nonfixed positive integer into another positive integer. Again by the construction of the set $T$, we find that the support of each $\theta_j$, $j = q+1, \ldots, s$, is either contained in some $D_{\pi_i}$, or it does not intersect $D_{\pi_i}$. For each $j = 1, \ldots, q$ and $i = q+1, \ldots, s$, either we have $\text{supp}(\theta_i) \cap \text{supp}(\theta_j) = \emptyset$, or $\text{supp}(\theta_i) \supseteq \text{supp}(\theta_j)$, this last case happening when $\theta_i$ arises from the $\ominus$-difference of two $u$-linked roots $\alpha_u \in \Gamma_d$ and $\alpha_v \in \Gamma$, and there is some $\alpha_{v+k} \in \Gamma$, $k \geq 1$, $l$-linked to $\alpha_u$, whose $\ominus^d$-difference gives $\theta_j$. Therefore, it follows that $f(\alpha)$ is noncrossing.

To see that $\mathcal{F}(\alpha) = \mathcal{F}(f(\alpha))$, notice that if $a \in \text{op}(\alpha)$, then $\alpha$ must have a root $e_b - e_a$, for some $b > a + 1$, and cannot have neither a root with terminal double index equal to $a$ nor a root with terminal index equal to $a$. By its construction, the same is true for the sequence $f(\alpha)$, and therefore $a \in \text{op}(f(\alpha))$. Assume now that $a \in \text{tr}(\alpha)$. Then, $a$ must appear either as the terminal index or terminal double index of a root, and $a + 1$ as the initial index of another root. Again the same will happen in the sequence $f(\alpha)$, and thus $a \in \text{tr}(f(\alpha))$. It follows that $\mathcal{F}(\alpha) = \mathcal{F}(f(\alpha))$. 

With some minor adaptations, the proof of lemma 4.9, in the case where $1 \notin \text{supp}(\alpha_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$, gives the type A analog of the previous result, and its type C analog is obtained through the bijection $\tau$.

**Corollary 4.10.** If $\alpha \in \text{NN}(\Psi)$ then $f(\alpha) \in \text{NC}(\Psi)$, for $\Psi = A_{n-1}$ or $C_n$, and $\mathcal{F}(\alpha) = \mathcal{F}(f(\alpha))$.

We will now construct the inverse function of $f$, thus showing that $f$ establishes a bijection between the sets $\text{NN}(\Psi)$ and $\text{NC}(\Psi)$, for $\Psi = A_{n-1}, B_n$ or $C_n$. For that purpose, recall the following property.

**Lemma 4.11.** Two distinct transpositions $(a, b)$ and $(i, j)$ in $S_n$ commute if and only if the sets $\{i, j\}$ and $\{a, b\}$ are disjoint.

If $\pi_1 \cdots \pi_\ell$ is the cycle structure of a signed permutation $\pi$, then for each cycle $\pi_i = (ij \cdots k)$ there is another cycle $\pi_j = (-i - j \cdots k)$. Denote by $\pi_i'$
the cycle in \( \{ \pi_i, \pi_j \} \) having the smallest positive integer (when \( \pi_i = \pi_j \) then \( \pi'_i \) is just \( \pi_i \)), and call positive cycle structure to the subword of \( \pi_1 \cdots \pi_p \) formed by the cycles \( \pi'_i \). Extend this definition to permutations in \( \mathfrak{S}_n \) by identifying positive cycle structure with cycle structure.

**Theorem 4.12.** The map \( f : \text{NN}(\Psi) \to \text{NC}(\Psi) \), for \( \Psi = A_{n-1}, B_n \) or \( C_n \), is a bijection between sets which preserves the triples \((\text{op}(\pi), \text{cl}(\pi), \text{tr}(\pi))\).

**Proof.** We will construct the inverse map \( g : \text{NC}(\Psi) \to \text{NN}(\Psi) \) of \( f \), for \( \Psi = B_n \). The other cases are analogous. Given \( \pi \in \text{NC}(B_n) \), let \( \pi_1 \cdots \pi_s \) be its positive cycle structure. Replace each cycle \( \pi_i = (i_1i_2 \cdots i_k) \) by 
\[
\pi_i = (i_1i_{j+1})(i_1i_2)(i_2i_3) \cdots (i_{j-1}i_j)(i_j+1i_{j+2}) \cdots (i_k-1i_k),
\]
if \( i_\ell > 0 \) for \( \ell = 1, \ldots, k \), and \( i_\ell < 0 \) for \( \ell = j + 1, \ldots, k \). Next, bearing in mind lemma 4.11 and recalling that \( \pi \) is noncrossing, move all transpositions \((i, j)\), with \( i > 0 \) and \( j < 0 \) (if any), to the leftmost positions and order them by their least positive element, and order all remaining transpositions \((i, j)\), with \( i, j > 0 \), by their least positive integer. Replace each transposition \((ij)\) by its correspondent root in the root system of type \( \Psi \), and let 
\[
(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)(\alpha_{k+1}, \ldots, \alpha_r) \cdots (\alpha_m, \ldots, \alpha_n)
\]
be the correspondent sequence of roots, divided by its connected components. Note that given two distinct roots in (4.1), the sets formed by the initial and terminal indices, if there are no double coefficients, or by the terminal and terminal double indices, otherwise, are clearly disjoint.

We start by considering that the sequence (4.1) has only one connected component \((\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)\). Let \( \Gamma_d = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r) \) be the subsequence formed by the roots having double coefficients, and denote by \( \Gamma = (\alpha_{r+1}, \ldots, \alpha_k) \) the remaining subsequence. Define \( \Gamma' = \Gamma_d' = \emptyset \). If \( \Gamma_d \) is not empty and \( r \neq k \), apply the following algorithm:

Let \( \overline{\Gamma} \) be the subsequence of \( \Gamma \) obtained by striking out the root \( \alpha_{r+1} \) if its initial index is 1. While \( \overline{\Gamma} \neq \emptyset \), repeat the following steps:

1. Let \( \alpha_i \) be the leftmost root in \( \overline{\Gamma} \) and check if \( \text{supp}(\alpha_i) \subseteq D_{\alpha_j} \), for some \( \alpha_j \in \Gamma_d \setminus \Gamma_d' \).
2. If so, let \( \alpha_{ij} \) be the rightmost root in \( \Gamma_d \setminus \Gamma_d' \) with this property. Update \( \Gamma' \) by including in it the rightmost root \( \alpha_i \) of \( \overline{\Gamma} \) whose support is contained in \( \text{supp}(\alpha_i) \). Update \( \Gamma \) by striking out the root \( \alpha_i \) and update \( \Gamma_d' \) by including in this set the root \( \alpha_{ij} \).
3. Otherwise, update \( \Gamma' \) by striking out the root \( \alpha_i \).

Next, let \( T = \{ t_1 > \cdots > t_r \} \) be the set formed by all terminal double indices of the roots in \( \Gamma_d \setminus \Gamma_d' \) and by the terminal indices of the roots in \( \Gamma' \); let \( F_d = \{ f_1 < \cdots < f_k \} \) be the set formed by the initial indices of the roots in \( \Gamma \), and let \( L_d = \{ \ell_1 < \cdots < \ell_k \} \) be the set formed by the terminal indices of the roots in \( \Gamma \setminus \Gamma' \cup \Gamma_d \) and by the terminal double indices of
the roots in $\Gamma_d'$. By this construction, we have $f_i < \ell_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, and $f_i < \ell_i$, for $i = r + 1, \ldots, k$. Then, define
\[ g(\pi) = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k), \]
where for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, $\alpha_i = e_{\ell_i} + e_{t_i}$, and for $i = r + 1, \ldots, k$, $\alpha_i = e_{\ell_i} - e_{f_i}$. For the general case define
\[ g(\pi) = g(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)g(\alpha_{k+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell}) \cdots g(\alpha_m, \ldots, \alpha_n). \]
It is clear from this construction that $g(\pi)$ is an antichain in the root poset of type $\Psi$. Moreover, a closer look at the construction of the map $f$ shows that $g$ is the inverse of $f$. Thus, $f$ (and $g$) establishes a bijection between nonnesting and noncrossing partitions of types $A, B$ and $C$. □

In the following examples we illustrate the application of the map $g$.

**Example 4.13.** Consider the cycle structure of the noncrossing partition $\pi = (18)(2347)(56)$ in the symmetric group $S_8$ used in example 4.4. Following the proof of Theorem 4.12, write
\[ \pi \equiv (18)(2347)(56) = (18)(23)(34)(47)(56) \equiv (e_8 - e_1)(e_3 - e_2)(e_4 - e_3)(e_7 - e_4)(e_6 - e_5). \]
Note that $\pi$ has only one connected component, and there are no double coefficients. Next define the sets $F_{st} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and $L_{st} = \{2, 3, 5, 6, 7\}$.
Thus, we find that the image of $\pi$ by the map $g$ is the antichain
\[ g(\pi) = (e_3 - e_1, e_4 - e_2, e_6 - e_3, e_7 - e_4, e_8 - e_5). \]

**Example 4.14.** Consider now the noncrossing partition
\[ \pi = (2, 5, -9)(-2, -5, 9)(6, -8)(-6, 8)(7, -7)(3, 4)(-3, -4) \]
obtained in Example 4.7. Its positive cycle structure is
\[ (2, -9)(2, 5)(6, -8)(7, -7)(3, 4) = (2, -9)(6, -8)(7, -7)(2, 5)(3, 4), \]
and thus we get
\[ \pi \equiv (e_9 + e_2, e_8 + e_6, e_7, e_5 - e_2, e_4 - e_3). \]
Next, construct the sets
\[ T = \{4, 2\}, \quad F_{st} = \{1, 3, 4\}, \quad \text{and} \quad L_{st} = \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}. \]
Therefore, the image of $\pi$ by the map $g$ is the antichain
\[ (e_5 + e_4, e_6 + e_2, e_7, e_8 - e_2, e_9 - e_3). \]
Finally, in the next result we prove that the map $f$ generalizes the bijection that locally converts each crossing to a nesting.

**Theorem 4.15.** When restricted to the type $A_{n-1}$ case, the map $f$ coincides with the $L$-map.
Proof. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ be an antichain in the root poset of type $A_{n-1}$. The result will be handled by induction over $m \geq 1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha$ is connected, since otherwise there is an integer $1 < k < n - 1$ such that each integer less (resp. greater) than $k$ is sent by $\alpha$ to an integer that still is less (resp. greater) than $k$. Therefore, the same happens with the image of $\alpha$ by either the map $f$ or the L-map.

The result is vacuous when $m = 1$, and when $m = 2$, the only connected nonnesting partition which does not stay invariant under the maps $f$ and $L$ is $\alpha = (e_{i'+1} - e_i)(e_{j'+1} - e_j)$, for some integers $1 \leq i < j < i' < j' \leq n - 1$. In this case, the equality between $f$ and the L-map is obvious. So, let $m > 2$ and assume the result for antichains of length $\leq m - 1$. Let $i$ and $j$ be, respectively, the first and last indices of $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_m$. Then,

$$f(\alpha) = (e_{j+1} - e_i)f(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m),$$

where each $\pi_k = \alpha_{k-1} \ominus \alpha_k$ for $k \geq 2$, and the antichain $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ is clearly nonnesting, and not necessarily connected. By the inductive step, $f(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m) = L(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m)$. Moreover, note that converting, from left to right, each local crossing between the first root and the leftmost root in $\alpha$ whose arcs cross, into a nesting gives, precisely,

$$(e_{j+1} - e_i)L(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m),$$

and this operation may be considered the first step of the L-map. Thus, we find that $f(\alpha) = L(\alpha)$.

Example 4.16. Consider the antichain $\alpha = (e_4 - e_1, e_6 - e_2, e_7 - e_3, e_8 - e_5)$ in the root poset of type $A_7$. Applying the map $f$ we get

$$f(\alpha) = (e_8 - e_1)f(e_4 - e_2, e_6 - e_3, e_7 - e_5) = (e_8 - e_1)(e_7 - e_2)f(e_4 - e_3, e_6 - e_5) = (e_8 - e_1)(e_7 - e_2)(e_4 - e_3)(e_6 - e_5) \equiv (18)(27)(34)(56).$$

On the other hand, applying the L-map to each crossing between the first root and the leftmost root in $\alpha$ whose arcs cross, we get successively

\[ \xymatrix{ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 } \]

Thus, in the first step of the L-map, we get

$$L(\alpha) = (e_8 - e_1)L(e_4 - e_2, e_6 - e_3, e_7 - e_5).$$

Continuing the application of the L-map, now replacing, by a nesting, each crossing between the second root and the leftmost root in $\alpha$ whose arcs cross, we get
and therefore, we have
\[ L(\alpha) = (e_8 - e_1)(e_7 - e_2)(e_4 - e_3)(e_6 - e_5) = f(\alpha). \]

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The three bijections [6, 11, 14] between noncrossing and nonnesting partitions are all distinct, and preserve different statistics. While our bijection preserves the triples \((op, cl, tr)\) formed by the openers, closers and transients of the partitions, for the types \(A, B\) and \(C\), and therefore also the number of blocks, the one by Alex Fink and Benjamin Giraldo [6] preserves the type of the partitions but not the triples \((op, cl, tr)\). For the types \(A\) and \(B\), Stump’s bijection does not preserve neither the type nor the triples \((op, cl, tr)\). Our construction coincides with the bijection defined by M. Rubey and C. Stump [13], but both constructions have very different designs and settings.
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