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#### Abstract

Let $a$ and $b$ be integers with $2 \leq a<b$, and let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ with $n \geq \frac{(a+b-1)^{2}}{a+1}$ and the minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq 1+\frac{(b-2) n}{a+b-1}$. Let $g$ and $f$ be nonnegative integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x)<f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. We prove that if the binding number $\operatorname{bind}(G) \geq 1+\frac{b-2}{a+1}$, then $G$ has a $(g, f)$-factor.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider only finite, simple, undirected graphs. Let $G$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For $x \in V(G)$, we denote by $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)$ the degree of $x$ in $G$, and by $N_{G}(x)$ the set of vertices adjacent to $x$ in $G$; thus $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)=\left|N_{G}(x)\right|$. For $X \subseteq V(G)$, we let $N_{G}(X)=$ $\bigcup_{x \in X} N_{G}(x)$. The minimum degree of $G$ is denoted by $\delta(G)$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, we denote by $G[S]$ the subgraph of $G$ induced by $S$, and by $G-S$ the subgraph obtained from $G$ by deleting vertices in $S$ together with the edges incident to vertices in $S$; $G-S=G[V(G)-S]$. A vertex set $S$ of $G$ is called an independent set if $G[S]$ has no edges. The binding number $\operatorname{bind}(G)$ of $G$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{bind}(G)=\min \left\{\left.\frac{\left|N_{G}(X)\right|}{|X|} \right\rvert\, \emptyset \neq X \subseteq V(G), N_{G}(X) \neq V(G)\right\}
$$

which was introduced in 1973 by Woodall [7] (prior to that, it was called the "melting-point" of a graph, for example, in [1]).

Let $f$ and $g$ be nonnegative integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$. A spanning subgraph $F$ of $G$ is called a $(g, f)$-factor if it satisfies $g(x) \leq$ $\operatorname{deg}_{F}(x) \leq f(x)$ for every $x \in V(G)$. Other notation and terminology are the same as those in [3].

The following results are already known on the binding number for the existence of $k$-factors, $f$-factors and $[a, b]$-factors.

Theorem A (Tokushige [6]). Let $k$ be an integer with $k \geq 2$, and let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ with $n>4 k+1-4 \sqrt{k+2}$ and $k n$ even. Suppose that
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$\delta(G) \neq\left\lfloor\frac{(k-1) n+2 k-3}{2 k-1}\right\rfloor$ or $k n \equiv-1,0,1, \ldots, k-1(\bmod 2 k-1)$. Then $G$ has a $k$-factor if

$$
\operatorname{bind}(G) \geq 2-\frac{1}{k}
$$

Theorem B (Kano and Tokushige [4]). Let $a$ and $b$ be integers with $1 \leq a \leq$ $b$ and $2 \leq b$, and let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$ with $n \geq(a+b)^{2} / a$ and $\sum_{x \in V(G)} f(x) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Let $f$ be a nonnegative integer-valued function defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. If one of the following three conditions is satisfied, then $G$ has an $f$-factor.
(1) $\operatorname{bind}(G)>\frac{(a+b-1)(n-1)}{a n-(a+b)+3}$;
(2) $\delta(G)>\frac{b n-2}{a+b}$;
(3) $\delta(G) \geq \frac{(b-1) n+a+b-2}{a+b-1}$ and for every nonempty independent subset $X$ of $V(G)$,

$$
\left|N_{G}(X)\right| \geq \frac{(b-1) n+|X|-1}{a+b-1} .
$$

Theorem C (Chen [2]). Let $a$ and $b$ be integers with $2 \leq a<b$, and let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ with $n \geq b+3 a$. Then $G$ has an $[a, b]$-factor if

$$
\operatorname{bind}(G) \geq 1+\frac{a-1}{b} \text { and } \delta(G) \geq 1+\frac{(a-1) n}{a+b-1}
$$

In this paper, we prove the following theorem on the existence of $(g, f)$ factors of graphs, which is an extension of Theorems B and C for large graphs.

Theorem 1.1. Let $a$ and $b$ be integers with $2 \leq a<b$, and let $G$ be $a$ graph of order $n$ with $n \geq(a+b-1)^{2} /(a+1)$. Let $g$ and $f$ be nonnegative integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x)<f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. Then $G$ has a $(g, f)$-factor if

$$
\operatorname{bind}(G) \geq 1+\frac{b-2}{a+1} \text { and } \delta(G) \geq 1+\frac{(b-2) n}{a+b-1} .
$$

Unfortunately, the author does not know whether the lower bound of the minimum degree in Theorem 1.1 is best possible or not. Hence, we pose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2. Let $a$ and $b$ be integers with $2 \leq a<b$, and let $G$ be a graph of sufficiently large order $n$. Let $g$ and $f$ be nonnegative integervalued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x)<f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. Then $G$ has a $(g, f)$-factor if

$$
\operatorname{bind}(G) \geq 1+\frac{b-2}{a+1} \text { and } \delta(G) \geq \frac{(b-2) n+a+1}{a+b-1}
$$

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In our proof, we use the following theorem, which is a special case of the ( $g, f$ )-factor theorem due to Lovász.

Lemma D (Lovász [5]). Let $G$ be a graph, and let $g$ and $f$ be nonnegative integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x)<f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. Then $G$ has a $(g, f)$-factor if and only if

$$
\sum_{x \in S} f(x)+\sum_{y \in T}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(y)-g(y)\right) \geq 0
$$

for all disjoint subsets $S$ and $T$ of $V(G)$.
Let $a, b, f, g, G$, and $n$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $G$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.1 but has no $(g, f)$-factor. Then by Lemma D, there exist disjoint subsets $S$ and $T$ of $V(G)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \in S} f(x)+\sum_{y \in T}\left(\operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(y)-g(y)\right)<0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose such subsets $S$ and $T$ so that $|T|$ is as small as possible.
If $T=\emptyset$, then by (2.1) we have $\sum_{x \in S} f(x) \leq-1$, which is a contradiction. Also, suppose that there exists a vertex $y_{0} \in T$ such that $\operatorname{deg}_{G-S}\left(y_{0}\right) \geq$ $g\left(y_{0}\right)$. Then the subsets $S$ and $T-\left\{y_{0}\right\}$ satisfy (2.1), which contradicts the choice of $T$. Thus $T \neq \emptyset$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(y)<g(y) \leq b-1$ for each $y \in T$.

Since $T \neq \emptyset$, we can define

$$
h=\min \left\{\operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(y) \mid y \in T\right\} .
$$

Note that $0 \leq h \leq b-2$.
We divide the proof into two cases.
Case 1: $h=0$.
Let $T_{0}=\left\{y \in T \mid \operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(y)=0\right\}$, and hence $T_{0}$ is an independent subset of $G$ and $T_{0} \neq \emptyset$. Then the following claim holds.

Claim 2.1. We have $\left|N_{G}\left(T_{0}\right)\right| \geq \frac{(b-2) n+(a+1)\left|T_{0}\right|}{a+b-1}$.
Proof. Let $Z=V(G)-N_{G}\left(T_{0}\right)$. Then $T_{0} \subseteq Z$ and $N_{G}(Z) \subseteq V(G)-T_{0}$, and hence it follows from the binding number condition of the theorem that

$$
\begin{aligned}
n-\left|T_{0}\right| \geq\left|N_{G}(Z)\right| & \geq\left(1+\frac{b-2}{a+1}\right)|Z| \\
& =\frac{a+b-1}{a+1}\left(n-\left|N_{G}\left(T_{0}\right)\right|\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\left|N_{G}\left(T_{0}\right)\right| \geq \frac{(b-2) n+(a+1)\left|T_{0}\right|}{a+b-1} .
$$

On the other hand, by (2.1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & >\sum_{x \in S} f(x)+\sum_{y \in T} \operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(y)-\sum_{y \in T} g(y) \\
& \geq(a+1)|S|+\sum_{y \in T} \operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(y)-(b-1)|T| \\
& \geq(a+1)|S|+|T|-\left|T_{0}\right|-(b-1)|T| \\
& \geq(a+1)|S|-\left|T_{0}\right|-(b-2)(n-|S|) \\
& =(a+b-1)|S|-\left|T_{0}\right|-(b-2) n,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\left|N_{G}\left(T_{0}\right)\right| \leq|S|<\frac{(b-2) n+\left|T_{0}\right|}{a+b-1}
$$

which contradicts Claim 2.1.
Case 2: $1 \leq h \leq b-2$.
It follows from (2.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & >\sum_{x \in S} f(x)+\sum_{y \in T} \operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(y)-\sum_{y \in T} g(y) \\
& \geq(a+1)|S|+\sum_{y \in T} \operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(y)-(b-1)|T| \\
& \geq(a+1)|S|+(h-b+1)|T| \\
& \geq(a+1)|S|+(h-b+1)(n-|S|) \\
& =(a+b-h)|S|-(b-1-h) n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
|S|<\frac{(b-1-h) n}{a+b-h} .
$$

Thus for any $y \in T$ with $\operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(y)=h$, we have

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \leq \operatorname{deg}_{G-S}(y)+|S|<h+\frac{(b-1-h) n}{a+b-h}
$$

Let

$$
f(h)=h+\frac{(b-1-h) n}{a+b-h}=h+n-\frac{(a+1) n}{a+b-h} .
$$

Since $n \geq(a+b-1)^{2} /(a+1)$ and $h \geq 1$, we obtain

$$
f^{\prime}(h)=1-\frac{(a+1) n}{(a+b-h)^{2}} \leq 1-\frac{(a+1) n}{(a+b-1)^{2}} \leq 0 .
$$

Thus $f(h)$ takes the maximum value at $h=1$.
Consequently, it follows from the minimum degree condition of the theorem that

$$
1+\frac{(b-2) n}{a+b-1} \leq \delta(G) \leq \operatorname{deg}_{G}(y)<f(h) \leq f(1)=1+\frac{(b-2) n}{a+b-1}
$$

a contradiction.
This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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