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#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ be the cyclotomic field obtained from $\mathbb{Q}$ by adjoining a primitive seventh root of unity $\zeta$. Normalized primary elements of this field are characterized and related to Jacobi sums and to solutions of a system of quadratic Diophantine equations of Dickson type involving a rational prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$. These objects and their connection are then used to give another formulation of the complementary laws to Kummer's reciprocity law of degree seven.


## 1. Introduction

Classical reciprocity laws and their accompanying complementary laws were originally used to solve problems mainly in number theory. These objects later gained more importance due to their applications in other mathematically related areas such as cryptography. They are used to compute residue symbols of the form $\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)_{l}$ without factoring the modulus $\beta$ in the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$, where $\zeta=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} / l}$ is a primitive $l$-th root of unity and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a prime. Cryptographic applications of these reciprocity laws and their complementaries can be found, for example, in [20], [23], and [24].

Efficient computation of residue symbols relies heavily on the use of reciprocity laws and their corresponding complementaries in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. These complementaries include those for elements which are excluded from the reciprocity law such as $l$, the special prime $1-\zeta$, and the units of the field. Explicit forms of the complementary laws had been known for the cases $l=2,3$, and 5 for quite some time now. For $l=2$, an in-depth discussion of the quadratic reciprocity law and its complementaries can be found, for example, in [11] or [14]. The cubic case, $l=3$, was extensively studied by Eisenstein $[6,7]$, and additional explicit forms of the complementaries to the cubic reciprocity law were given in [11] (pp. 112-119), [19] (pp. 7882), and [30]. For additional treatment of the cubic reciprocity law and its complementaries, see [8] and [27]. For $l=5$, results pertaining to the

[^0]complementary laws to Kummer's quintic reciprocity law were explored in [19] (pp. 84-89), [20], and [29]. Recently, the case $l=7$ has been examined, and the explicit forms of the complementaries to Kummer's degree seven reciprocity law appear in [2] and [3].

In this work, a different formulation of the complementaries for the case $l=7$ is explored. This new formulation depends on a particular type of an algebraic integer, which will be called a normalized primary element, in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ with $\zeta$ a primitive seventh root of unity. Properties of these elements will be discussed here. The paper presents an interesting relationship between normalized primary elements, including Jacobi sums, in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ and solutions of a system of quadratic Diophantine equations of Dickson type. It is shown here how this relationship can be employed to obtain new formulas for the complementaries to Kummer's degree seven reciprocity law in terms of the solutions of the Dickson system. This work is inspired by an earlier paper of K. S. Williams [29] which showed that the solutions of a system of quadratic Diophantine equations involving a rational prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod 5)$, due to Dickson $[4,5]$, can be used to obtain an explicit formulation of the complementary laws to Kummer's quintic reciprocity law.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 includes a brief review of some basic properties of cyclotomic fields, a discussion of the nature of primary normalized elements in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$, and a presentation of Kummer's reciprocity law and its complementaries. In Section 3, we present some properties of Jacobi sums and their relation to the factorization of a prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$. Then some properties of the system of quadratic Diophantine equations of Dickson type involving rational primes of the form $p=7 k+1, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, are presented in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 is devoted to the derivation of the new formulas for the complementaries to Kummer's reciprocity law using the solutions of the system of equations from Section 4.

## 2. Cyclotomic Fields and Kummer's Reciprocity Law

We recall some properties of cyclotomic fields, give the nature of primary normalized elements in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$, and revisit the reciprocity law due to Kummer and its complementaries for the case $l=7$. For an in-depth discussion of cyclotomic fields, we refer to [22].

Suppose $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an odd rational prime. Let $\zeta=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} / l}$ be a primitive $l$-th root of unity, so $\zeta$ satisfies

$$
1+\zeta+\cdots+\zeta^{l-1}=0
$$

The cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ formed by adjoining $\zeta$ to the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ is a Galois extension over $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta): \mathbb{Q}]=l-1$. Its maximal order is the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, so any $l-1$ distinct powers of $\zeta$ form an integral basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. We will use the set $\left\{\zeta^{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq l-1\right\}$ as an integral basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. The $l-1$ conjugate mappings of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}$ are given by $\sigma_{i}(\zeta)=\zeta^{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l-1$. So the conjugates of an element

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=a_{1} \zeta+a_{2} \zeta^{2}+\cdots+a_{l-1} \zeta^{l-1} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta), \text { with } a_{j} \in \mathbb{Q}, \text { can be ordered as } \\
\alpha_{i}=\sigma_{i}(\alpha)=a_{1} \zeta^{i}+a_{2} \zeta^{2 i}+\cdots+a_{l-1} \zeta^{(l-1) i}
\end{gathered}
$$

Denote by $\bar{\alpha}=\sigma_{l-1}(\alpha)$ the complex conjugate of $\alpha$. The norm and trace of $\alpha$ are given by $\mathbf{N}(\alpha)=\prod_{i=1}^{l-1} \sigma_{i}(\alpha)$ and $\mathbf{T}(\alpha)=\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \sigma_{i}(\alpha)$, respectively. Since $\sigma_{l-i}(\alpha)$ is the complex conjugate of $\sigma_{i}(\alpha), \mathbf{N}(\alpha)>0$ for all non-zero $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. Moreover, all the $l-1$ complex mappings are complex embeddings of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$, so the unit rank of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ is $r=(l-3) / 2$. Thus, the group of units of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is of the form $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]^{*}=\langle-1, \zeta\rangle \times \mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{r}$. We say that two elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ are associates and write $\alpha \simeq \beta$ if $\alpha \beta^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]^{*}$.

The discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ is $(-1)^{(l-1) / 2} l^{l-2}$, so the only rational prime ramified in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ is $l$. Let $\omega$ be the prime $1-\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. We have $l \simeq \omega^{l-1}$. The powers $\omega^{k}$, with $0 \leq k \leq l-2$, also form an integral basis of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$.

One important element in the statement of Kummer's reciprocity law is the concept of primary elements in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. The following definition can be found on p. 350 of [12] and p. 118 of [21].

Definition 2.1 (Primary elements). Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. Then $\alpha$ is said to be primary if there exists $B \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the following hold:

$$
\alpha \not \equiv 0(\bmod \omega), \quad \alpha \equiv B\left(\bmod \omega^{2}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha \bar{\alpha} \equiv B^{2}(\bmod l) .
$$

We need to employ another crucial concept in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$, which was originally introduced in [15] for the case $l=7$. This notion is one of the key ingredients in our derivation of the reformulations of the complementary laws.

Definition 2.2. An element $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is said to be normalized if $\alpha \equiv$ $-1\left(\bmod \omega^{2}\right)$.

We summarize some properties of primary and normalized elements in the following lemma.

## Lemma 2.3.

(a) Every conjugate of a primary element in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is primary, and every conjugate of a normalized element in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is normalized.
(b) Every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ with $\alpha \not \equiv 0(\bmod \omega)$ has a normalized primary associate.
(c) If $l \leq 19$, then every prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod l)$ has a unique factorization, up to associates, of the form $p=\pi_{1} \cdots \pi_{l-1}$ into normalized primary primes in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, where $\pi_{i}=\sigma_{i}\left(\pi_{1}\right)$ for $\sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q})$ and $1 \leq i \leq$ $l-1$.

Proof. Note that $\sigma(\omega)$ is an associate to $\omega$ for every $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q})$ and $\sigma(\bar{\alpha})=\overline{\sigma(\alpha)}$. Part (a) is now straightforward to prove.

To prove part (b), recall that by Lemma 2.6 of [3], every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ with $\alpha \not \equiv 0(\bmod \omega)$ has a primary associate. So assume without loss of generality that $\alpha$ is primary. Let $g$ be a primitive root modulo $l$. Consider the element

$$
\mu=\zeta+\cdots+\zeta^{g}=\zeta \sigma_{g}(\omega) / \omega \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] .
$$

Since $\sigma_{g}(\omega)$ is associate to $\omega, \mu$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. Moreover, $\mu^{l} \equiv g(\bmod l)$.
Let $B \in \mathbb{Z}, B \not \equiv 0(\bmod l)$, such that $\alpha \equiv B\left(\bmod \omega^{2}\right)$ and $\alpha \bar{\alpha} \equiv$ $B^{2}(\bmod l)$. Since $g$ is a primitive root modulo $l$, the elements $g^{k} B, 0 \leq$ $k \leq l-2$, are distinct. So there exists $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, l-2\}$ such that $g^{k} B \equiv-1(\bmod l)$. Note that

$$
\mu^{k l} \equiv g^{k} \equiv-B^{-1}(\bmod l) .
$$

Set $\beta=\alpha \varepsilon^{k l}$. Then $\beta \equiv-1\left(\bmod \omega^{2}\right)$, so $\beta$ is normalized. Also, $\beta \bar{\beta} \equiv$ $1(\bmod l)$, so $\beta$ is primary. Hence, $\beta$ is a normalized primary associate of $\alpha$.

Finally, for part (c), recall that every prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod l)$ splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ (see Theorem 2.13 of [22], for example). Recall furthermore that if $l \leq 19$, then $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is a unique factorization domain [17]. Thus, every prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod l)$ has a prime factorization, unique up to associates, of the form

$$
p=\pi_{1} \pi_{2} \cdots \pi_{l-1},
$$

with $\pi_{i}=\sigma_{i}\left(\pi_{1}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq l-1$. By part (b), $\pi_{1}$ has a normalized primary associate $\pi_{1}^{\prime}=\mu \pi_{1}$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]^{*}$. By part (a), $\pi_{i}^{\prime}=\sigma_{i}\left(\pi_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is a normalized primary associate to $\pi_{i}$. Since $\mathbf{N}(\mu)=1$,

$$
p=\pi_{1}^{\prime} \pi_{2}^{\prime} \cdots \pi_{l-1}^{\prime}
$$

is the desired factorization.
For $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_{i} \zeta^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, we define the following important quantities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=b(\alpha)=\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_{i}=-\mathbf{T}(\alpha), \quad \text { and } \quad c=c(\alpha)=\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} i a_{i} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These quantities satisfy the identities given in the next lemma.
Lemma 2.4. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. Then
(a) $b\left(\sigma_{i}(\alpha)\right)=b(\alpha)$ for $\sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}), 1 \leq i \leq l-1$.
(b) $\alpha \equiv b(\alpha)-c(\alpha) \omega\left(\bmod \omega^{2}\right)$.
(c) $b(\alpha \beta) \equiv b(\alpha) b(\beta)(\bmod l)$.

Proof. Write $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_{i} \zeta^{i}$ with $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l-1$. So $b(\alpha)=\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_{i}$. Part (a) is easy. For parts (b) and (c), see [3] Lemma 2.1 and Corollaries 2.2 and 2.3.

Lemma 2.4(b) implies that $\alpha$ is normalized if and only if $b \equiv-1(\bmod l)$ and $c \equiv 0(\bmod l)$. Furthermore, if $\alpha$ is primary, then $b \not \equiv 0(\bmod l)$ and $c \equiv 0(\bmod l)$.

Kummer's Reciprocity Law and its Complementaries. If $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is prime, then $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta] / \pi \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is a finite field of order $\mathbf{N}(\pi)$. So $\alpha^{\mathbf{N}(\pi)-1} \equiv$ $1(\bmod \pi)$ for any non-zero $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, where $\alpha$ is not divisible by $\pi$. Hence, $\alpha^{(\mathbf{N}(\pi)-1) / l} \equiv \zeta^{i}(\bmod \pi)$ for a unique $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, l-1\}$. The exponent $i$ is called the index of $\alpha$ with respect to $\pi$, denoted by $\operatorname{ind}_{\pi}(\alpha)$. This leads to the following definition.

Definition 2.5. Let $\alpha, \pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, where $\pi \not \approx \omega$ is a prime. Then the $l$-th power residue symbol of $\alpha$ modulo $\pi$ is defined as

$$
\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)_{l}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \text { if } \pi \mid \alpha, \\
\zeta^{\operatorname{ind}_{\pi}(\alpha)} & \text { if } \pi \nmid \alpha
\end{array}\right.
$$

This definition may also be extended to composite moduli just like the generalization of the Legendre symbol to the Jacobi symbol. We now state Kummer's reciprocity law for an odd rational prime $l$ (for example, see $[12,13]$ and $[21])$. The formulation of this reciprocity law for composite moduli can be found in $[2,3]$ and $[19,20]$.

Theorem 2.6 (Kummer's law of reciprocity). Let $\pi$ and $\psi$ be two distinct primary primes. Then

$$
\left(\frac{\pi}{\psi}\right)_{l}=\left(\frac{\psi}{\pi}\right)_{l}
$$

For the remainder of this section, we restrict to the case $l=7$. Let $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{6} a_{i} \zeta^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. We define six linear combinations of the coefficients of $\alpha$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& b=b(\alpha)=\sum_{i=1}^{6} a_{i}=a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4}+a_{5}+a_{6}, \\
& c=c(\alpha)=\sum_{i=1}^{6} i a_{i}=a_{1}+2 a_{2}+3 a_{3}+4 a_{4}+5 a_{5}+6 a_{6}, \\
& a=a(\alpha)=\sum_{i=1}^{6} i^{2} a_{i} \equiv a_{1}-3 a_{2}+2 a_{3}+2 a_{4}-3 a_{5}+a_{6}(\bmod 7),  \tag{2}\\
& d=d(\alpha)=\sum_{i=1}^{6} i^{3} a_{i} \equiv a_{1}+a_{2}-a_{3}+a_{4}-a_{5}-a_{6}(\bmod 7), \\
& e=e(\alpha)=\sum_{i=1}^{6} i^{4} a_{i} \equiv a_{1}+2 a_{2}-3 a_{3}-3 a_{4}+2 a_{5}+a_{6}(\bmod 7), \\
& f=f(\alpha)=\sum_{i=1}^{6} i^{5} a_{i} \equiv a_{1}-3 a_{2}-2 a_{3}+2 a_{4}+3 a_{5}-a_{6}(\bmod 7) .
\end{align*}
$$

The quantities $b$ and $c$ were already introduced in (1). For $l=7$, the complementaries to Kummer's reciprocity law for any primary element were
explicitly stated in [2] Theorem 4.3 .1 and [3] Theorem 6.3. Here we give a version of the complementary laws using a primary prime element of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$.
Theorem 2.7 (Complementary laws, $l=7$ ). Let $\pi=\sum_{i=1}^{6} a_{i} \zeta^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ be primary prime and $a, b, c, d, e, f$ given by (2) (with $\pi$ in place of $\alpha$ ). Suppose $\mathbf{N}(\pi)=p \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$. Then the following hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{ \pm 1}{\pi}\right)_{7} & =1 & \left(\frac{\zeta}{\pi}\right)_{7} & =\zeta^{\frac{p-1}{7}} \\
\left(\frac{7}{\pi}\right)_{7} & =\zeta^{\frac{c}{7 b}+\frac{3 f-d}{b}+3}, & \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)_{7} & =\zeta^{\frac{4(p+6)}{7}-\frac{c}{7 b}} \\
\left(\frac{\zeta+\zeta^{6}}{\pi}\right)_{7} & =\zeta^{\frac{f-2 d}{b}}, & \left(\frac{\zeta^{2}+\zeta^{5}}{\pi}\right)_{7} & =\zeta^{\frac{3(d-f)}{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Primary and normalized elements can also be easily characterized in terms of the quantities in (2).

Lemma 2.8. Let $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{6} a_{i} \zeta^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$.
(a) $\alpha$ is primary if and only if $b \not \equiv 0(\bmod 7), c \equiv 0(\bmod 7)$, and $a \equiv$ $e \equiv 0(\bmod 7)$, or equivalently, $a_{1}+a_{6} \equiv a_{2}+a_{5} \equiv a_{3}+a_{4}(\bmod 7)$.
(b) $\alpha$ is normalized if and only if $b \equiv-1(\bmod 7)$ and $c \equiv 0(\bmod 7)$.
(c) $\alpha$ is normalized primary if and only if $b \equiv-1(\bmod 7), c \equiv 0(\bmod 7)$, and $a_{1}+a_{6} \equiv a_{2}+a_{5} \equiv a_{3}+a_{4} \equiv 2(\bmod 7)$.

Proof. For part (a), see Corollary 4.5 of [3]. Part (b) was already remarked just after the proof of Lemma 2.4. For part $(\mathrm{c})$, let $a_{1}+a_{6} \equiv j(\bmod 7)$. Then

$$
-1 \equiv b \equiv 3 j \not \equiv 0(\bmod 7)
$$

if and only if $j \equiv 2(\bmod 7)$.

## 3. Jacobi Sums and Prime Factorization

As shown in [15], Jacobi sums play an important role in finding the solutions to a system of quadratic Diophantine equations of Dickson type involving a rational prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$. These character sums can be used to write a factorization of $p$ into two complex conjugate normalized algebraic integers, say $p=\alpha \bar{\alpha}$, where $\alpha, \bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ are Jacobi sums. This type of factorization of $p$ will turn out to be useful in Section 5 for the derivation of Kummer's complementary laws from the solutions of the Dickson system given in the next section. We review some properties of Jacobi sums and then relate them to the factorization of the rational prime $p$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. The interested reader may consult [1], [11], or [14] for additional descriptions of Jacobi sums.

Throughout this section, we assume that $l \leq 19$, so $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is a unique factorization domain. Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an odd rational prime such that $p \equiv$ $1(\bmod l)$. A nontrivial character $\chi(\bmod p)$ of order $l$ is a nontrivial multiplicative group homomorphism from $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ into the multiplicative group
of $l$-th roots of unity. The trivial character $\varepsilon$ is the homomorphism on $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ satisfying $\varepsilon(\alpha)=1$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$. For convenience, we define $\chi(0)=1$ if $\chi$ is the trivial character and $\chi(0)=0$ if $\chi$ is a nontrivial character.

Definition 3.1. Let $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$ be characters on $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. The Jacobi sum of $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}$ is defined by

$$
J\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)=\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \chi_{1}(a) \chi_{2}(1-a) .
$$

The order $n$ of the Jacobi sum $J\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)$ is the least common multiple of the orders of $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}$. Hence, a Jacobi sum of order $n$ is an integer of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$, where $\zeta$ is a primitive $n$-th root of unity.

Note that $J(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)=p$ and for a nontrivial character $\chi, J(\varepsilon, \chi)=J(\chi, \varepsilon)=$ 0 . It can also be shown that for nontrivial characters $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}$, the modulus of the algebraic integer $J\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)$ is $\left|J\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)\right|=\sqrt{p}$, so $J\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right) \overline{J\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right)}=$ $p$. Moreover, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}$ be characters on $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ of order $l$, where $l$ is an odd prime. Set $\zeta=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} / l}$. Then

$$
J\left(\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}\right) \equiv-1\left(\bmod \omega^{2}\right) .
$$

Proof. See [1], p. 63.
Let $\pi$ be a normalized primary prime divisor of $p$, and $\zeta=e^{2 \pi \mathbf{i} / l}$. Consider the multiplicative character $\chi=(\dot{\bar{\pi}})_{l}$ given in Definition 2.5. Equivalently, $\chi$ is the character $(\bmod p)$ such that $\chi(g)=\zeta$, where $g$ is a primitive root modulo $p$ with $g^{\frac{p-1}{l}} \equiv \zeta(\bmod \pi)$. We abbreviate our notation for the Jacobi $\operatorname{sum} J\left(\chi^{i}, \chi^{j}\right)$ by $J(i, j)$.

We now consider how Jacobi sums decompose into primes in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. Let $L(a)$ be the least positive integer congruent to $a(\bmod l)$ for any integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ not divisible by $l$. As in Section 2, we order the conjugates of an element $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ as $\pi_{i}=\sigma_{i}(\pi)$ for $\sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q}), 1 \leq i \leq l-1$. Assuming unique factorization, we can now present an analog of Theorem 2.1.14 in [1] pertaining to the prime factorization of Jacobi sums.

Theorem 3.3. As above, let $p \equiv 1(\bmod l)$. Let $\chi$ be a character on $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta] / \pi \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ of order $l$ for some normalized primary prime $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ dividing p. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $0<m, n<l$ and $m+n \neq l$. Then $J(m, n)$ has prime factorization

$$
J(m, n)=\prod_{j \in S} \pi_{j^{*}}
$$

where $S=\{j \mid 0<j<l$ and $L(m j)+L(n j)<l\}$ and $j^{*}$ is the inverse of $j(\bmod l)$.

For the remaining part of this section, let $l=7$ and $\chi=(\dot{\bar{\pi}})_{7}$, where $\pi$ is a fixed normalized prime divisor of $p$. We look at the prime factorization of $p \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$ in terms of normalized elements.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ such that $b \not \equiv 0(\bmod 7)$ and $\alpha \bar{\alpha}=p \equiv$ $1(\bmod 7)$. Then $\alpha$ has a unique normalized associate.
Proof. Existence: This follows from Lemma 2.3.
Uniqueness: Let $\alpha^{\prime}$, and $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ be normalized associates of $\alpha$ with $\alpha^{\prime} \overline{\alpha^{\prime}}=$ $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \overline{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}=p$. Then $\alpha^{\prime}=\mu \alpha^{\prime \prime}$ for some unit $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]^{*}$. It follows that $\mu \bar{\mu}=1$. By p. 99 of [21], $\eta_{1}=\zeta+\zeta^{6}$ and $\eta_{2}=\zeta^{2}+\zeta^{5}$ form a system of fundamental units of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. Hence, there exist $k, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mu= \pm \zeta^{k} \eta_{1}^{m} \eta_{2}^{n}$. Note that $\overline{\eta_{1}}=\eta_{1}$ and $\overline{\eta_{2}}=\eta_{2}$, so

$$
1=\mu \bar{\mu}=\eta_{1}^{2 m} \eta_{2}^{2 n} .
$$

It follows that $m=n=0$, so $\mu= \pm \zeta^{k}$. Now since $\alpha^{\prime} \equiv \alpha^{\prime \prime} \equiv-1\left(\bmod \omega^{2}\right)$, we see that $\mu \equiv 1\left(\bmod \omega^{2}\right)$, so

$$
1 \equiv \pm \zeta^{k} \equiv \pm(1-k \omega)\left(\bmod \omega^{2}\right)
$$

This forces $k \equiv 0(\bmod 7)$ and the sign to be + , so $\mu=1$ and hence, $\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ as required.

Using normalized elements and Jacobi sums, we can now give all the factorizations of $p$ into pairs of complex conjugates of normalized integers in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. The analog of this lemma using prime ideal factorization is given in [1], p. 146.

Lemma 3.5. There are exactly eight distinct normalized algebraic integers $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ such that $\alpha \bar{\alpha}=p$. These are given by $J(1,2), J(3,6)$, and $J(i, i)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 6$.

Proof. The eight listed algebraic integers are normalized by Theorem 3.2. By checking all the values $m, n$ in Theorem 3.3, we find that there are only eight (up to associates) distinct Jacobi sums given by $J(1,2), J(3,6)$, and $J(i, i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 6$ with prime factorizations $\pi_{1} \pi_{2} \pi_{4}, \pi_{3} \pi_{5} \pi_{6}, \pi_{1} \pi_{4} \pi_{5}$, $\pi_{1} \pi_{2} \pi_{3}, \pi_{1} \pi_{3} \pi_{5}, \pi_{2} \pi_{4} \pi_{6}, \pi_{4} \pi_{5} \pi_{6}$, and $\pi_{2} \pi_{3} \pi_{6}$, respectively. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ with $\alpha \bar{\alpha}=p$, the prime factorization of $\alpha$ must be one of the eight listed above. Hence, $\alpha$ must be associate to one of the eight listed Jacobi sums. So by Lemma 3.4, the desired result follows.

Finally, we remark that $J(i, i)=\sigma_{i}(J(1,1))$ for $1 \leq i \leq 6$. Moreover, $J(1,2)$ is easily seen to be fixed by $\sigma_{2}$, so $J(1,2)$ must lie in its fixed field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$. Hence, $J(1,2)=t+u \sqrt{-7}$ for some $t, u \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, $\overline{J(1,2)}=\sigma_{3}(J(1,2))=J(3,6)$. These observations will be used in Section 4.

## 4. Diophantine Equations of Dickson Type

Using cyclotomy, Dickson [4, 5] developed a system of quadratic Diophantine equations of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
16 p & =x^{2}+50 u^{2}+50 v^{2}+125 w^{2} \\
x w & =v^{2}-4 u v-u^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x \equiv 1(\bmod 5)$ and $p$ is a rational prime such that $p \equiv 1(\bmod 5)$. This system was later used by Williams to obtain certain criteria for quintic residuacity (see [28]) and an explicit formulation of the complementaries to Kummer's quintic reciprocity law (see [29]). For the purpose of the present paper, we consider a system of equations similar to the system given above. However, we now use a rational prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$. We employ the system of equations (6) developed in [15]. An elementary treatment of this system is also given in [9], [25], and [26].

A summary of the results from Section 3.9 of [1], and [15] pertaining to the Diophantine system for the case $l=7$ is presented here. Let $\zeta=e^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} / 7}$ be a primitive 7 -th root of unity, $\omega=1-\zeta$, and $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ the ring of integers of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. Recall that $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is a unique factorization domain. Let $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ be a normalized primary prime divisor of $p$. We consider the power residue character $\chi=(\dot{\bar{\pi}})_{7}$, or equivalently, $\chi$ is a character $(\bmod p)$ of order 7 with $\chi(g)=\zeta$. All throughout this section, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ is assumed to be a rational prime such that $\alpha \bar{\alpha}=p$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is a normalized element. So $\alpha$ is then one of the eight choices listed in Lemma 3.5.

Write $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{6} b_{i} \zeta^{i}$ with $b_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 6$. Then the coefficients of $\alpha$ satisfy the congruences in Lemma 2.8(c), with $b_{i}$ in place of $a_{i}$. The following congruences easily follow:

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{1}-b_{2}-b_{5}+b_{6} & \equiv 0(\bmod 7),  \tag{3}\\
b_{1}+b_{2}-2 b_{3}-2 b_{4}+b_{5}+b_{6} & \equiv 0(\bmod 7) . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

From the coefficients of $\alpha$ and congruences (3) and (4), we obtain the integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$ defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
x_{1} & =-b_{1}-b_{2}-b_{3}-b_{4}-b_{5}-b_{6}  \tag{5}\\
x_{2} & =b_{1}-b_{6} \\
x_{3} & =b_{2}-b_{5} \\
x_{4} & =b_{3}-b_{4} \\
7 x_{5} & =b_{1}+b_{2}-2 b_{3}-2 b_{4}+b_{5}+b_{6} \\
7 x_{6} & =b_{1}-b_{2}-b_{5}+b_{6}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

which satisfy

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
72 p= & 2 x_{1}^{2}+42\left(x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right)+343\left(x_{5}^{2}+3 x_{6}^{2}\right)  \tag{6}\\
0=12 x_{2}^{2} & -12 x_{4}^{2}+147 x_{5}^{2}-441 x_{6}^{2}+56 x_{1} x_{6}+24 x_{2} x_{3} \\
& -24 x_{2} x_{4}+48 x_{3} x_{4}+98 x_{5} x_{6} \\
0=12 x_{3}^{2} & -12 x_{4}^{2}+49 x_{5}^{2}-147 x_{6}^{2}+28 x_{1} x_{5}+28 x_{1} x_{6} \\
& +48 x_{2} x_{3}+24 x_{2} x_{4}+24 x_{3} x_{4}+490 x_{5} x_{6},
\end{align*}\right.
$$

with $x_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$ since $x_{1}=-b(\alpha) \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$. The solutions of $(6)$ are connected to certain congruences modulo $p$ involving binomial coefficients of the form $\binom{k r}{k s}$, where $k=(p-1) / 7$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfy $1 \leq s<r \leq 6$ (see [10], for example).

It was shown in [15] that $\alpha=J(1,2)=\pi_{1} \pi_{2} \pi_{4}=t+u \sqrt{-7}$, with $t, u \in \mathbb{Z}$, and its conjugate, $\overline{J(1,2)}$, give rise to four trivial solutions of (6) (trivial here means $\left.x_{5}=x_{6}=0\right)$ :

$$
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)=( \pm 6 t, \pm 2 u, \pm 2 u, \mp 2 u, 0,0)
$$

Also, if $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)$ is a solution of (6) obtained from any of the conjugates $\sigma_{i}(J(1,1))$, then these six conjugates give the twelve nontrivial solutions

$$
\pm\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrr}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]^{i}
$$

where $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$.
Theorem 4.1. Let $p$ be a rational prime such that $p \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$. Then the Dickson system (6) has exactly 16 integral solutions $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)$, four of which are trivial and the remaining 12 are nontrivial.

Proof. See [1], pp. 146-147.

## 5. Reformulations of the Complementary Laws

We now provide a new formulation of the complementaries to Kummer's degree seven reciprocity law. As in the previous section, let $\zeta$ be a primitive 7-th root of unity, $\omega=1-\zeta$, and $\pi=a_{1} \zeta+\cdots+a_{6} \zeta^{6} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ a prime with $\pi \nsim \omega$. Suppose $\pi$ divides $p$, where $p \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$. By Lemma 2.3, we can assume that $\pi$ is a normalized primary element. So $b \equiv-1(\bmod 7)$ and $c \equiv 0(\bmod 7)$ by Lemma 2.8 , where $b$ and $c$ are as defined in (2) with $\pi$ in place of $\alpha$. Again, from Lemma 2.8 we get

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} \equiv 2-a_{6}(\bmod 7), & a_{3} \equiv 3 a_{5}-2 a_{6}(\bmod 7) \\
a_{2} \equiv 2-a_{5}(\bmod 7), & a_{4} \equiv 2-3 a_{5}+2 a_{6}(\bmod 7) \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

Recall that the conjugates of $\pi$ can be ordered by setting $\pi_{i}=\sigma_{i}(\pi)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 6$, where $\sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) / \mathbb{Q})$ is determined by $\sigma_{i}(\zeta)=\zeta^{i}$. Set

$$
\theta=\sum_{i=1}^{6} b_{i} \zeta^{i}=J(1,1)=\pi_{1} \pi_{4} \pi_{5}
$$

Then $\theta$ is normalized by Theorem 3.2 and $\theta \bar{\theta}=p$. Our goal is to express the $b_{i}$ in terms of the solutions $x_{i}$ of (6), then the $a_{i}$ in terms of the $b_{i}$; then apply (2) and Theorem 2.7 to obtain a formula of the complementaries in terms of the $x_{i}$.

First, we show that $\pi$ gives rise to a solution $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)$ of Dickson's Diophantine system (6). We explicitly compute (via MAPLE) the product $\pi_{1} \pi_{4} \pi_{5}$ to obtain expressions for the coefficients $b_{i}$ in terms of the $a_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{1}=a_{5}^{3}+a_{1}^{2} a_{4}-a_{1}^{2} a_{5}+a_{1} a_{2}^{2}-a_{1} a_{4}^{2}-a_{1} a_{6}^{2}-a_{2}^{2} a_{3} \\
& -a_{2}^{2} a_{5}+a_{2}^{2} a_{6}+a_{2} a_{3}^{2}-a_{2} a_{5}^{2}-a_{2} a_{6}^{2}-a_{3}^{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2} a_{6}-a_{3} a_{5}^{2} \\
& +a_{3} a_{6}^{2}-a_{4} a_{5}^{2}+a_{4} a_{6}^{2}-a_{5} a_{6}^{2}-a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}+a_{1} a_{2} a_{4}-a_{1} a_{3} a_{4} \\
& +a_{1} a_{3} a_{5}+a_{1} a_{3} a_{6}+a_{1} a_{4} a_{5}+a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}-a_{2} a_{4} a_{6}+2 a_{2} a_{5} a_{6} \\
& +2 a_{3} a_{4} a_{5}+a_{3} a_{5} a_{6}-a_{4} a_{5} a_{6}, \\
& b_{2}=a_{3}^{3}-a_{1}^{2} a_{2}+a_{1} a_{2}^{2}-a_{1} a_{3}^{2}+a_{1} a_{5}^{2}-a_{1} a_{6}^{2}-a_{2}^{2} a_{3} \\
& +a_{2} a_{4}^{2}-a_{2} a_{5}^{2}-a_{3}^{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2} a_{6}-a_{3} a_{4}^{2}-a_{3} a_{5}^{2}+a_{4}^{2} a_{5}-a_{4}^{2} a_{6} \\
& -a_{4} a_{5}^{2}+a_{4} a_{6}^{2}-a_{5} a_{6}^{2}+a_{5}^{2} a_{6}+a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}+a_{1} a_{2} a_{4}-a_{1} a_{2} a_{6} \\
& -a_{1} a_{3} a_{5}+2 a_{1} a_{3} a_{6}-a_{1} a_{4} a_{5}+a_{1} a_{4} a_{6}+a_{2} a_{3} a_{6}-a_{2} a_{4} a_{6} \\
& +a_{2} a_{5} a_{6}+2 a_{3} a_{4} a_{5}+a_{3} a_{5} a_{6}, \\
& b_{3}=a_{1}^{3}-a_{1}^{2} a_{2}-a_{1}^{2} a_{5}-a_{1}^{2} a_{6}-a_{1} a_{3}^{2}-a_{1} a_{4}^{2}-a_{1} a_{6}^{2} \\
& -a_{2}^{2} a_{4}-a_{2}^{2} a_{5}+a_{2}^{2} a_{6}+a_{2} a_{4}^{2}-a_{2} a_{6}^{2}+a_{3}^{2} a_{5}-a_{3} a_{4}^{2}-a_{3} a_{5}^{2} \\
& +a_{3} a_{6}^{2}+a_{4}^{2} a_{5}-a_{4}^{2} a_{6}+a_{4} a_{6}^{2}+a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}+a_{1} a_{2} a_{4}+2 a_{1} a_{2} a_{5} \\
& +a_{1} a_{3} a_{5}+2 a_{1} a_{4} a_{6}-a_{1} a_{4} a_{5}+a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3} a_{5}-a_{2} a_{3} a_{6} \\
& +a_{2} a_{5} a_{6}+a_{3} a_{5} a_{6}-a_{4} a_{5} a_{6}, \\
& b_{4}=a_{6}^{3}+a_{1}^{2} a_{3}+a_{1}^{2} a_{4}-a_{1}^{2} a_{5}-a_{1}^{2} a_{6}-a_{1} a_{3}^{2}+a_{1} a_{5}^{2} \\
& -a_{1} a_{6}^{2}-a_{2}^{2} a_{4}+a_{2} a_{3}^{2}+a_{2} a_{4}^{2}-a_{2} a_{5}^{2}-a_{2} a_{6}^{2}-a_{3}^{2} a_{4}+a_{3}^{2} a_{5} \\
& -a_{3}^{2} a_{6}-a_{3} a_{5}^{2}-a_{4}^{2} a_{6}-a_{5} a_{6}^{2}-a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}+a_{1} a_{2} a_{4}+a_{1} a_{2} a_{5} \\
& +2 a_{1} a_{3} a_{6}-a_{1} a_{4} a_{5}-a_{2} a_{3} a_{6}-a_{2} a_{4} a_{5}+a_{2} a_{4} a_{6}+2 a_{2} a_{5} a_{6} \\
& +a_{3} a_{4} a_{5}+a_{3} a_{5} a_{6}+a_{4} a_{5} a_{6} \text {, } \\
& b_{5}=a_{4}^{3}-a_{1}^{2} a_{2}+a_{1}^{2} a_{3}-a_{1}^{2} a_{6}+a_{1} a_{2}^{2}-a_{1} a_{3}^{2}-a_{1} a_{4}^{2} \\
& -a_{2}^{2} a_{3}-a_{2}^{2} a_{4}-a_{2}^{2} a_{5}+a_{2}^{2} a_{6}+a_{2} a_{3}^{2}-a_{3}^{2} a_{4}+a_{3}^{2} a_{5}-a_{3} a_{4}^{2} \\
& -a_{4}^{2} a_{6}-a_{4} a_{5}^{2}+a_{5}^{2} a_{6}-a_{5} a_{6}^{2}+a_{1} a_{2} a_{4}+a_{1} a_{2} a_{5}-a_{1} a_{3} a_{5} \\
& +a_{1} a_{3} a_{6}+a_{1} a_{4} a_{5}+2 a_{1} a_{4} a_{6}-a_{1} a_{5} a_{6}+2 a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3} a_{6} \\
& -a_{2} a_{4} a_{6}+a_{3} a_{5} a_{6}+a_{4} a_{5} a_{6}, \\
& b_{6}=a_{2}^{3}-a_{1}^{2} a_{2}+a_{1}^{2} a_{3}+a_{1}^{2} a_{4}-a_{1}^{2} a_{5}-a_{1}^{2} a_{6}-a_{1} a_{4}^{2} \\
& +a_{1} a_{5}^{2}-a_{2}^{2} a_{3}-a_{2}^{2} a_{4}-a_{2}^{2} a_{5}-a_{2} a_{5}^{2}-a_{2} a_{6}^{2}-a_{3}^{2} a_{6}-a_{3} a_{4}^{2} \\
& +a_{3} a_{6}^{2}+a_{4}^{2} a_{5}-a_{4} a_{5}^{2}+a_{5}^{2} a_{6}-a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}+a_{1} a_{2} a_{4}+2 a_{1} a_{2} a_{5} \\
& -a_{1} a_{3} a_{5}+a_{1} a_{4} a_{6}+2 a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}+a_{2} a_{3} a_{6}+a_{2} a_{4} a_{6}-a_{3} a_{4} a_{6} \\
& +a_{3} a_{4} a_{5}+a_{3} a_{5} a_{6}-a_{4} a_{5} a_{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we use (7) to reduce the $b_{i}$ modulo 7 to obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b_{1} \equiv 2+2 a_{5}-3 a_{6}(\bmod 7)  \tag{9}\\
b_{2} \equiv 2+3 a_{5}+3 a_{6}(\bmod 7) \\
b_{3} \equiv 2 a_{5}-a_{6}(\bmod 7) \\
b_{4} \equiv 2-2 a_{5}+a_{6}(\bmod 7) \\
b_{5} \equiv-3 a_{5}-3 a_{6}(\bmod 7) \\
b_{6} \equiv-2 a_{5}+3 a_{6}(\bmod 7)
\end{array}\right.
$$

By using (8), just like in Section 4, we can define the integers $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, $x_{4}, x_{5}$, and $x_{6}$ as given in (5) with the first of those equations implying $x_{1} \equiv$ $1(\bmod 7)$. These $x_{i}$ satisfy (6) since $\theta \bar{\theta}=p$. Solving (5) for $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, b_{5}$, and $b_{6}$ gives

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
b_{3} \\
b_{4} \\
b_{5} \\
b_{6}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{12}\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrr}
-2 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\
-2 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 1 & -3 \\
-2 & 0 & 0 & 6 & -2 & 0 \\
-2 & 0 & 0 & -6 & -2 & 0 \\
-2 & 0 & -6 & 0 & 1 & -3 \\
-2 & -6 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4} \\
7 x_{5} \\
7 x_{6}
\end{array}\right]
$$

So

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
12 b_{1}=-2 x_{1}+6 x_{2}+7 x_{5}+21 x_{6}  \tag{10}\\
12 b_{2}=-2 x_{1}+6 x_{3}+7 x_{5}-21 x_{6} \\
12 b_{3}=-2 x_{1}+6 x_{4}-14 x_{5} \\
12 b_{4}=-2 x_{1}-6 x_{4}-14 x_{5} \\
12 b_{5}=-2 x_{1}-6 x_{3}+7 x_{5}-21 x_{6} \\
12 b_{6}=-2 x_{1}-6 x_{2}+7 x_{5}+21 x_{6}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
b_{1} \equiv x_{1}-3 x_{2}(\bmod 7), & b_{4} \equiv x_{1}+3 x_{4}(\bmod 7) \\
b_{2} \equiv x_{1}-3 x_{3}(\bmod 7), & b_{5} \equiv x_{1}+3 x_{3}(\bmod 7)  \tag{11}\\
b_{3} \equiv x_{1}-3 x_{4}(\bmod 7), & & b_{6} \equiv x_{1}+3 x_{2}(\bmod 7)
\end{array}
$$

Next, we need to express the coefficients $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}, a_{6}$ of $\pi$ in terms of the solutions $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}$ of the given Diophantine system (6). It follows from $(9)$ that $b_{5} \equiv 4 a_{5}+4 a_{6}(\bmod 7)$ and $b_{6} \equiv 5 a_{5}+3 a_{6}(\bmod 7)$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{5} \equiv-3 b_{5}-3 b_{6} \quad(\bmod 7) \quad \text { and } \quad a_{6} \equiv 3 b_{6}-2 b_{5}(\bmod 7) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we substitute the values of $b_{5}$ and $b_{6}$ from (11) into (12) to obtain expressions for $a_{5}$ and $a_{6}$, which we then substitute into (7) to get the
values of the remaining $a_{i}$. We find

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{1} & \equiv 2-x_{1}-2 x_{2}-x_{3}(\bmod 7) \\
a_{2} & \equiv 2-x_{1}+2 x_{2}+2 x_{3}(\bmod 7) \\
a_{3} & \equiv x_{1}-3 x_{2}-x_{3}(\bmod 7) \\
a_{4} & \equiv 2-x_{1}+3 x_{2}+x_{3}(\bmod 7)  \tag{13}\\
a_{5} & \equiv x_{1}-2 x_{2}-2 x_{3}(\bmod 7) \\
a_{6} & \equiv x_{1}+2 x_{2}+x_{3}(\bmod 7) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that in these formulas, we chose to express the $a_{i}$ in terms of $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$. But they could be expressed in terms of any three among the $x_{i}$.

We can now express the values of $d / b, f / b, c / 7 b$ and $(p-1) / 7$, which were used in the explicit forms of Kummer's complementary laws in Theorem 2.7, in terms of the $x_{i}$. From (13), using $b \equiv-1(\bmod 7)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d \equiv-a_{1}-a_{2}+a_{3}-a_{4}+a_{5}+a_{6} \equiv 1-x_{1}+x_{2}+3 x_{3}(\bmod 7) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-f \equiv-a_{1}+3 a_{2}+2 a_{3}-2 a_{4}-3 a_{5}+a_{6} \equiv-3 x_{2}+3 x_{3}(\bmod 7) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, the value of $c / 7 b$ is computed from the product $6 b^{2} c$ as shown below. We add and subtract products of the $a_{i}$ to the expanded form of the expression $6 b^{2} c$ so that it can be expressed as a linear combination of the $b_{i}$ plus a multiple of 7 and a multiple of 49 . Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
6 b^{2} c & =6\left(a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4}+a_{5}+a_{6}\right)^{2}\left(a_{1}+2 a_{2}+3 a_{3}+4 a_{4}+5 a_{5}+6 a_{6}\right) \\
& =2 b_{1}+4 b_{2}+6 b_{3}+b_{4}+3 b_{5}+5 b_{6}+7 A+49 B \\
& \equiv 2 b_{1}+4 b_{2}+6 b_{3}+b_{4}+3 b_{5}+5 b_{6}+7 A(\bmod 49),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A=a_{2}^{3} & +2 a_{3}^{3}+3 a_{4}^{3}+4 a_{5}^{3}+5 a_{6}^{3}+6 a_{1}^{2} a_{2}+3 a_{1}^{2} a_{3}+4 a_{1}^{2} a_{4}+a_{1}^{2} a_{5} \\
& +2 a_{1}^{2} a_{6}+3 a_{1} a_{2}^{2}+a_{1} a_{3}^{2}+3 a_{1} a_{4}^{2}+a_{1} a_{5}^{2}+6 a_{1} a_{6}^{2}+a_{2}^{2} a_{3}+2 a_{2}^{2} a_{4} \\
& +3 a_{2}^{2} a_{5}+6 a_{2} a_{3}^{2}+5 a_{2} a_{5}^{2}+3 a_{3}^{2} a_{4}+a_{3}^{2} a_{5}+5 a_{3}^{2} a_{6}+5 a_{3} a_{4}^{2} \\
& +6 a_{3} a_{5}^{2}+4 a_{3} a_{6}^{2}+2 a_{4}^{2} a_{5}+5 a_{4} a_{6}^{2}+5 a_{5}^{2} a_{6}+2 a_{5} a_{6}^{2}+3 a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \\
& +2 a_{1} a_{2} a_{4}+3 a_{1} a_{2} a_{5}+2 a_{1} a_{2} a_{6}+2 a_{1} a_{3} a_{5}+a_{1} a_{3} a_{6}+4 a_{1} a_{4} a_{5} \\
& +a_{1} a_{4} a_{6}+5 a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}+4 a_{2} a_{3} a_{5}+5 a_{2} a_{3} a_{6}+5 a_{2} a_{4} a_{5}+6 a_{2} a_{5} a_{6} \\
& +4 a_{3} a_{4} a_{5}+2 a_{3} a_{4} a_{6}+6 a_{4} a_{5} a_{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (10) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 b_{1}+4 b_{2}+6 b_{3}+b_{4}+3 b_{5}+5 b_{6} \\
& =-\frac{7}{2} x_{1}-\frac{3}{2} x_{2}+\frac{1}{2} x_{3}+\frac{5}{2} x_{4} \\
& \equiv-28 x_{1}-26 x_{2}+25 x_{3}+27 x_{4} \\
& \equiv-2\left(14 x_{1}+13 x_{2}+12 x_{3}+11 x_{4}\right)(\bmod 49) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, substitute the values of the $a_{i}$ from (13) into $A$ so that

$$
A \equiv-\left(2 x_{1}+4 x_{2}-5 x_{3}+4\right)(\bmod 7)
$$

Hence,
$6 b^{2} c \equiv-2\left(14 x_{1}+13 x_{2}+12 x_{3}+11 x_{4}\right)-7\left(2 x_{1}+4 x_{2}-5 x_{3}+4\right)(\bmod 49)$.
It follows that
$-\frac{c}{7} \equiv \frac{6 c}{7} \equiv-2\left(2 x_{1}+\frac{13 x_{2}+12 x_{3}+11 x_{4}}{7}\right)-\left(2 x_{1}+4 x_{2}-5 x_{3}+4\right)(\bmod 7)$.
It is an easy exercise to show that $\left(13 x_{2}+12 x_{3}+11 x_{4}\right) / 7$ is an integer. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{c}{7} \equiv x_{1}+\frac{37 x_{2}+95 x_{3}+55 x_{4}}{7}-4(\bmod 7) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we express $(p-1) / 7$ in terms of the $x_{i}$. From (6), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
23 p & \equiv 2 x_{1}^{2}+42\left(x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right) \\
p & \equiv 15 x_{1}^{2}+21\left(x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right) \\
p-1 & \equiv 15 x_{1}^{2}-1+21\left(x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right) \quad(\bmod 49),
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p-1}{7} \equiv \frac{15 x_{1}^{2}-1}{7}+3\left(x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right)(\bmod 7) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can now substitute (14), (15), (16), and (17) into the complementary laws in Theorem 2.7. The connection between the complementary laws and Dickson's Diophantine system is summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ be a normalized primary prime factor of a rational prime $p \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$ which gives rise to the solution $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right.$, $x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}$ ) of Dickson's Diophantine system (6). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\zeta}{\pi}\right)_{7} & =\zeta^{\frac{15 x_{1}^{2}-1}{7}+3\left(x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right)} \\
\left(\frac{\zeta+\zeta^{6}}{\pi}\right)_{7} & =\zeta^{2 x_{1}+2 x_{2}-3 x_{3}-2} \\
\left(\frac{\zeta^{2}+\zeta^{5}}{\pi}\right)_{7} & =\zeta^{3-3 x_{1}-2 x_{2}}, \\
\left(\frac{7}{\pi}\right)_{7} & =\zeta^{2\left(x_{1}-1\right)+\frac{65 x_{2}+88 x_{3}+55 x_{4}}{7}}, \\
\left(\frac{1-\zeta}{\pi}\right)_{7} & =\zeta^{6 x_{1}+5\left(x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right)+\frac{60 x_{1}^{2}+5 x_{2}+38 x_{3}+22 x_{4}+24}{7}-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

It was shown in [16] that $\left(\frac{7}{p}\right)_{7}=1$ if and only if the solution $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right.$, $\left.x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)$ of (6) satisfies the congruence $x_{2}-19 x_{3}-18 x_{4} \equiv 0(\bmod 49)$. This result also follows easily from Theorem 5.1 as shown in Corollary 5.3.2 of [2].

Finally, this section concludes with an example to illustrate the results above. PARI/GP [18] was used for the computations in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. We computed norms of all normalized primary elements $\sum_{i=0}^{6} a_{i} \zeta^{i}$, with small coefficients $-3 \leq a_{i} \leq 3$, to find some elements which do not have too large rational primes as their norms. For instance, the primes 631, 673, and 701 are norms of some normalized primary elements in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ with such small coefficients.

Example 5.2. Let $p=631$ with the normalized primary prime factor $\pi=$ $2 \zeta-\zeta^{2}+2 \zeta^{3}+3 \zeta^{5}$, which yields $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, b_{5}, b_{6}\right)=(15,-3,-15,3,-9,1)$. Then $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}\right)=(8,14,6,-18,4,4)$, and from Theorem 5.1 it follows that

$$
\left(\frac{\zeta}{\pi}\right)_{7}=\zeta^{6},\left(\frac{7}{\pi}\right)_{7}=\zeta,\left(\frac{\zeta+\zeta^{6}}{\pi}\right)_{7}=\zeta^{3},\left(\frac{\zeta^{2}+\zeta^{5}}{\pi}\right)_{7}=1,\left(\frac{1-\zeta}{\pi}\right)_{7}=\zeta^{3} .
$$
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