

SIGNED STAR  $k$ -DOMATIC NUMBER OF A GRAPH

SEYED MAHMOUD SHEIKHOLESAMI AND LUTZ VOLKMANN

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a simple graph without isolated vertices with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G)$  and let  $k$  be a positive integer. A function  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is said to be a signed star  $k$ -dominating function on  $G$  if  $\sum_{e \in E(v)} f(e) \geq k$  for every vertex  $v$  of  $G$ , where  $E(v) = \{uv \in E(G) \mid u \in N(v)\}$ . A set  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d\}$  of signed star  $k$ -dominating functions on  $G$  with the property that  $\sum_{i=1}^d f_i(e) \leq 1$  for each  $e \in E(G)$ , is called a signed star  $k$ -dominating family (of functions) on  $G$ . The maximum number of functions in a signed star  $k$ -dominating family on  $G$  is the signed star  $k$ -domatic number of  $G$ , denoted by  $d_{kSS}(G)$ .

In this paper we study the properties of the signed star  $k$ -domatic number  $d_{kSS}(G)$ . In particular, we determine the signed star  $k$ -domatic number of some classes of graphs. Some of our results extend these one given by Atapour et al. [1] for the signed star domatic number.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a graph with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . We use [8] for terminology and notation which are not defined here and consider simple graphs without isolated vertices only. For every nonempty subset  $E'$  of  $E(G)$ , the subgraph  $G[E']$  induced by  $E'$  is the graph whose vertex set consists of those vertices of  $G$  incident with at least one edge of  $E'$  and whose edge set is  $E'$ .

Two edges  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  of  $G$  are called *adjacent* if they are distinct and have a common vertex. The *open neighborhood*  $N_G(e)$  of an edge  $e \in E(G)$  is the set of all edges adjacent to  $e$ . Its *closed neighborhood* is  $N_G[e] = N_G(e) \cup \{e\}$ . For a function  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  and a subset  $S$  of  $E(G)$  we define  $f(S) = \sum_{e \in S} f(e)$ . The *edge-neighborhood*  $E_G(v)$  of a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  is the set of all edges incident with the vertex  $v$ . For each vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , we also define  $f(v) = \sum_{e \in E_G(v)} f(e)$ .

---

Received by the editors September 2, 2010, and in revised form May 25, 2011.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C69.

*Key words and phrases.* Signed star  $k$ -domatic number; Signed star domatic number; Signed star  $k$ -dominating function; Signed star dominating function; Signed star  $k$ -domination number; Signed star domination number; Regular graphs.

Let  $k$  be a positive integer. A function  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is called a *signed star  $k$ -dominating function* (SSkDF) on  $G$ , if  $f(v) \geq k$  for every vertex  $v$  of  $G$ . The *signed star  $k$ -domination number* of a graph  $G$  is  $\gamma_{kSS}(G) = \min\{\sum_{e \in E} f(e) \mid f \text{ is a SSkDF on } G\}$ . The signed star  $k$ -dominating function  $f$  on  $G$  with  $f(E(G)) = \gamma_{kSS}(G)$  is called a  $\gamma_{kSS}(G)$ -*function*. As assuming  $\delta(G) \geq k$  is clearly necessary, we will always assume that when we discuss  $\gamma_{kSS}(G)$  all graphs involved satisfy  $\delta(G) \geq k$ . The signed star  $k$ -domination number, introduced by Xu and Li in [11], has been studied by several authors (see for instance [2, 7]). The signed star 1-domination number is the usual signed star domination number which has been introduced by Xu in [9] and has been studied by several authors (see for instance [5, 6, 10]).

A set  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d\}$  of signed star  $k$ -dominating functions on  $G$  with the property that  $\sum_{i=1}^d f_i(e) \leq 1$  for each  $e \in E(G)$ , is called a *signed star  $k$ -dominating family* (of functions) on  $G$ . The maximum number of functions in a signed star  $k$ -dominating family on  $G$  is the *signed star  $k$ -domatic number* of  $G$ , denoted by  $d_{kSS}(G)$ . The signed star  $k$ -domatic number is well-defined and  $d_{kSS}(G) \geq 1$  for all graphs  $G$  with  $\delta(G) \geq k$ , since the set consisting of any one SSkD function forms a SSkD family on  $G$ . A  $d_{kSS}$ -*family* of a graph  $G$  is a SSkD family containing  $d_{kSS}(G)$  SSkD functions. The signed star 1-domatic number  $d_{1SS}(G)$  is the usual signed star domatic number  $d_{SS}(G)$  which was introduced by Atapour et al. in [1].

Our purpose in this paper is to initiate the study of signed star  $k$ -domatic number in graphs. We first study basic properties and bounds for the signed star  $k$ -domatic number of a graph, some of which are analogous to those of the signed star domatic number  $d_{SS}(G)$  in [1]. In addition, we determine the signed star  $k$ -domatic number of some classes of graphs.

**Observation 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n \geq 3$  and size  $m$ . If  $k \in \{n-2, n-1\}$  and  $\delta(G) \geq k$ , then  $\gamma_{kSS}(G) = m$  and hence  $d_{kSS}(G) = 1$ .*

**Observation 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of size  $m$  with  $\delta(G) \geq k$ . Then  $\gamma_{kSS}(G) = m$  if and only if each edge  $e \in E(G)$  has an endpoint  $u$  such that  $\deg(u) = k$  or  $\deg(u) = k+1$ .*

*Proof.* If each edge  $e \in E(G)$  has an endpoint  $u$  such that  $\deg(u) = k$  or  $\deg(u) = k+1$ , then trivially  $\gamma_{kSS}(G) = m$ .

Conversely, assume that  $\gamma_{kSS}(G) = m$ . Suppose to the contrary that there exists an edge  $e = uv \in E(G)$  such that  $\min\{\deg(u), \deg(v)\} \geq k+2$ . Define  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  by  $f(e) = -1$  and  $f(e') = 1$  for  $e' \in E(G) \setminus \{e\}$ . Obviously,  $f$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating function of  $G$  with weight less than  $m$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 2. BASIC PROPERTIES OF THE SIGNED STAR $k$ -DOMATIC NUMBER

In this section we study basic properties of  $d_{kSS}(G)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of size  $m$  with  $\delta(G) \geq k$ , signed star  $k$ -domination number  $\gamma_{kSS}(G)$  and signed star  $k$ -domatic number  $d_{kSS}(G)$ . Then*

$$\gamma_{kSS}(G) \cdot d_{kSS}(G) \leq m.$$

Moreover, if we have  $\gamma_{kSS}(G) \cdot d_{kSS}(G) = m$ , then for each  $d_{kSS}$ -family  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d\}$  of  $G$ , each function  $f_i$  is a  $\gamma_{kSS}$ -function and  $\sum_{i=1}^d f_i(e) = 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .

*Proof.* If  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d\}$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating family on  $G$  such that  $d = d_{kSS}(G)$ , then the definitions imply

$$\begin{aligned} d \cdot \gamma_{kSS}(G) &= \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_{kSS}(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{e \in E(G)} f_i(e) \\ &= \sum_{e \in E(G)} \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(e) \leq \sum_{e \in E(G)} 1 = m \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

If  $\gamma_{kSS}(G) \cdot d_{kSS}(G) = m$ , then the two inequalities occurring in the proof become equalities. Hence for the  $d_{kSS}$ -family  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d\}$  of  $G$  and for each  $i$ ,  $\sum_{e \in E(G)} f_i(e) = \gamma_{kSS}(G)$ , thus each function  $f_i$  is a  $\gamma_{kSS}$ -function, and  $\sum_{i=1}^d f_i(e) = 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *If  $G$  is a graph of size  $m$  and  $\delta(G) \geq k$ , then*

$$\gamma_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(G) \leq m + 1.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.1,

$$(2.1) \quad \gamma_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(G) \leq d_{kSS}(G) + \frac{m}{d_{kSS}(G)}.$$

Using the fact that the function  $g(x) = x + m/x$  is decreasing for  $1 \leq x \leq \sqrt{m}$  and increasing for  $\sqrt{m} \leq x \leq m$ , this inequality leads to the desired bound immediately.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of size  $m$  and  $\delta(G) \geq k$ . If  $2 \leq \gamma_{kSS}(G) \leq m - 1$ , then*

$$\gamma_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(G) \leq m.$$

*Proof.* Theorem 2.1 implies that

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(G) \leq \gamma_{kSS}(G) + \frac{m}{\gamma_{kSS}(G)}.$$

If we define  $x = \gamma_{kSS}(G)$  and  $g(x) = x + m/x$  for  $x > 0$ , then because  $2 \leq \gamma_{kSS}(G) \leq m - 1$ , we have to determine the maximum of the function

$g$  in the interval  $I : 2 \leq x \leq m - 1$ . It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in I} \{g(x)\} &= \max\{g(2), g(m-1)\} \\ &= \max\left\{2 + \frac{m}{2}, m-1 + \frac{m}{m-1}\right\} \\ &= m-1 + \frac{m}{m-1} < m+1, \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain  $\gamma_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(G) \leq m$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $G$  be a graph of size  $m$  and  $\delta(G) \geq k$ . If  $\min\{\gamma_{kSS}(G), d_{kSS}(G)\} \geq 2$ , then*

$$\gamma_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(G) \leq \frac{m}{2} + 2.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\min\{\gamma_{kSS}(G), d_{kSS}(G)\} \geq 2$ , it follows by Theorem 2.1 that  $2 \leq d_{kSS}(G) \leq m/2$ . By (2.1) and the fact that the maximum of  $g(x) = x + m/x$  on the interval  $2 \leq x \leq m/2$  is  $g(2) = g(m/2)$ , we see that

$$\gamma_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(G) \leq d_{kSS}(G) + \frac{m}{d_{kSS}(G)} \leq \frac{m}{2} + 2.$$

$\square$

Observation 1.1 demonstrates that Corollary 2.4 is no longer true in the case that  $\min\{\gamma_{kSS}(G), d_{kSS}(G)\} = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $\delta(G) \geq k$  and let  $v \in V(G)$ . Then*

$$d_{kSS}(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\deg(v)}{k} & \text{if } \deg(v) \equiv k \pmod{2}, \\ \frac{\deg(v)}{k+1} & \text{if } \deg(v) \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

*Moreover, if the equality holds, then for each function  $f_i$  of a SSkD family  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d\}$  and for every  $e \in E(v)$ ,*

$$\sum_{e \in E(v)} f_i(e) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \deg(v) \equiv k \pmod{2}, \\ k+1 & \text{if } \deg(v) \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$

*and  $\sum_{i=1}^d f_i(e) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d\}$  be a SSkD family of  $G$  such that  $d = d_{kSS}(G)$ . If  $\deg(v) \equiv k \pmod{2}$ , then

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^d 1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{k} \sum_{e \in E(v)} f_i(e) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{e \in E(v)} \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(e) \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{e \in E(v)} 1 = \frac{\deg(v)}{k}.$$

Similarly, if  $\deg(v) \equiv k + 1 \pmod{2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \sum_{i=1}^d 1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{e \in E(v)} f_i(e) \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{e \in E(v)} \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(e) \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{e \in E(v)} 1 = \frac{\deg(v)}{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $d_{kSS}(G) = \deg(v)/k$  when  $\deg(v) \equiv k \pmod{2}$  or  $d_{kSS}(G) = \deg(v)/(k+1)$  when  $\deg(v) \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}$ , then the two inequalities occurring in the proof of each corresponding case become equalities, which gives the properties given in the statement.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $1 \leq k \leq \delta(G)$ . Then*

$$d_{kSS}(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\delta(G)}{k} & \text{if } \delta(G) \equiv k \pmod{2}, \\ \frac{\delta(G)}{k+1} & \text{if } \delta(G) \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 2.7.** *The signed star  $k$ -domatic number is an odd integer.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be an arbitrary graph, and assume that  $d = d_{kSS}(G)$  is even. Let  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d\}$  be the corresponding signed star  $k$ -dominating family on  $G$ . If  $e \in E(G)$  is an arbitrary edge, then  $\sum_{i=1}^d f_i(e) \leq 1$ . On the left-hand side of this inequality, a sum of an even number of odd summands occurs. Therefore it is an even number, and we obtain  $\sum_{i=1}^d f_i(e) \leq 0$  for each  $e \in E(G)$ . This forces

$$kd = \sum_{i=1}^d k \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{e \in E(v)} f_i(e) = \sum_{e \in E(v)} \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(e) \leq 0,$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

An immediate consequence of Theorems 2.5, 2.7 and Corollary 2.6 is the following result.

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $\delta(G) \geq k$ . If  $\delta(G) < 3k$  or if  $G$  has a vertex  $v$  of degree  $\deg(v) = 3k + 1$ , then  $d_{kSS}(G) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\delta(G) < 3k$ , then Corollary 2.6 implies that

$$d_{kSS}(G) \leq \frac{\delta(G)}{k} < \frac{3k}{k} = 3.$$

Applying Theorem 2.7, we deduce that  $d_{kSS}(G) \leq 1$  and thus  $d_{kSS}(G) = 1$ . If  $G$  has a vertex  $v$  of degree  $\deg(v) = 3k + 1$ , then  $\deg(v) \equiv k + 1 \pmod{2}$  and thus it follows from Theorem 2.5 that

$$d_{kSS}(G) \leq \frac{\deg(v)}{k+1} = \frac{3k+1}{k+1} < 3.$$

Again Theorem 2.7 leads to the desired result  $d_{kSS}(G) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of size  $m$ . Then  $\gamma_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(G) = m + 1$  if and only if each edge  $e \in E(G)$  has an endpoint  $u$  such that  $\deg(u) = k$  or  $\deg(u) = k + 1$ .*

*Proof.* If each edge  $e \in E(G)$  has an endpoint  $u$  such that  $\deg(u) = k$  or  $\deg(u) = k + 1$ , then  $\gamma_{kSS}(G) = m$  by Observation 1.2. Hence  $d_{kSS}(G) = 1$  and the result follows.

Conversely, let  $\gamma_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(G) = m + 1$ . The result is obviously true for  $m = 1, 2, 3$ . Assume  $m \geq 4$ . By Corollary 2.4, we may assume that  $\min\{\gamma_{kSS}(G), d_{kSS}(G)\} = 1$ . If  $\gamma_{kSS}(G) = 1$ , then  $d_{kSS}(G) = m$ , which is a contradiction to Corollary 2.6. If  $d_{kSS}(G) = 1$ , then  $\gamma_{kSS}(G) = m$  and the result follows by Observation 1.2.  $\square$

As an application of Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 2.7, we will prove the following Nordhaus-Gaddum type result.

**Theorem 2.10.** *For every graph  $G$  of order  $n$  with  $\delta(G) \geq k$  and  $\delta(\overline{G}) \geq k$ ,*

$$(2.3) \quad d_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) \leq \frac{n-1}{k}.$$

*If  $d_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) = (n-1)/k$ , then  $G$  is regular,  $k$  and  $\delta(G)$  are even and  $n$  is odd such that  $n-1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\delta(G) + \delta(\overline{G}) \leq n-1$ , Corollary 2.6 leads to

$$d_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) \leq \frac{\delta(G)}{k} + \frac{\delta(\overline{G})}{k} \leq \frac{n-1}{k}.$$

If  $G$  is not regular, then  $\delta(G) + \delta(\overline{G}) \leq n-2$  and hence we obtain the better bound  $d_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) \leq (n-2)/k$ . Thus assume now that  $G$  is  $\delta(G)$ -regular.

Case 1: Assume that  $k$  is odd. If  $\delta(G)$  is even, then it follows from Corollary 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) &\leq \frac{\delta(G)}{k+1} + \frac{\delta(\overline{G})}{k} = \frac{\delta(G)}{k+1} + \frac{n-\delta(G)-1}{k} \\ &< \frac{\delta(G)}{k} + \frac{n-\delta(G)-1}{k} = \frac{n-1}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\delta(G)$  is odd, then  $n$  is even and thus  $\delta(\overline{G}) = n - \delta(G) - 1$  is even. Using Corollary 2.6, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) &\leq \frac{\delta(G)}{k} + \frac{\delta(\overline{G})}{k+1} = \frac{\delta(G)}{k} + \frac{n-\delta(G)-1}{k+1} \\ &< \frac{\delta(G)}{k} + \frac{n-\delta(G)-1}{k} = \frac{n-1}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two bounds, we conclude that  $d_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) < (n-1)/k$  when  $k$  is odd.

Case 2: Assume that  $k$  is even. If  $\delta(G)$  is odd, then Corollary 2.6 implies  $d_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) < (n-1)/k$  as above. If  $\delta(G)$  is even and  $n$  is even, then

$\delta(\overline{G}) = n - \delta(G) - 1$  is odd, and we obtain the bound  $d_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) < (n - 1)/k$  as above.

Finally, assume that  $\delta(G)$  is even and  $n$  is odd such that  $n - 1 = 4p + 2$ . If  $d_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) = (n - 1)/k$ , then we observe that

$$d_{kSS}(G) = \frac{\delta(G)}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) = \frac{\delta(\overline{G})}{k} .$$

According to Theorem 2.7, these two values are odd integers, say

$$d_{kSS}(G) = \frac{\delta(G)}{k} = 2s + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) = \frac{\delta(\overline{G})}{k} = 2t + 1 .$$

If  $k = 2i$ , then we arrive at the contradiction

$$d_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) = \frac{\delta(G)}{k} + \frac{\delta(\overline{G})}{k} = 2(s + t + 1) = \frac{4p + 2}{2i} .$$

This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 2.10.  $\square$

The following examples will demonstrate that  $d_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) = (n - 1)/k$  in Theorem 2.10 is possible when  $G$  is regular,  $k$  and  $\delta(G)$  are even and  $n$  is odd such that  $n - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

Let  $k \geq 2$  be an even integer and  $n \geq 5$  such that  $n - 1 = 2k$ . Now let  $H$  be a  $k$ -regular graph of order  $n$ . Then  $\overline{H}$  is also  $k$ -regular. Corollary 2.6 implies that  $d_{kSS}(H) \leq 1$  and thus  $d_{kSS}(H) = 1$ . It follows that

$$d_{kSS}(H) + d_{kSS}(\overline{H}) = 2 = \frac{n - 1}{k} .$$

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with  $\delta(G) \geq k$  and  $\delta(\overline{G}) \geq k$ . If  $\delta(G) < 3k$  and  $\delta(\overline{G}) < 3k$  or  $n < 4k + 1$ , then*

$$d_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) = 2 .$$

*Proof.* If  $\delta(G) < 3k$  and  $\delta(\overline{G}) < 3k$ , then Corollary 2.8 implies the desired result immediately. If  $n < 4k + 1$ , then it follows from (2.3) that

$$d_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) \leq \frac{n - 1}{k} < \frac{4k}{k} = 4 ,$$

and thus Theorem 2.7 leads to  $d_{kSS}(G) + d_{kSS}(\overline{G}) = 2$ .  $\square$

### 3. SIGNED STAR $k$ -DOMATIC NUMBER OF REGULAR GRAPHS

In this section we determine values of the signed star  $k$ -domatic number for some classes of regular graphs.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be an  $r$ -regular and 1-factorable graph and let  $1 \leq k \leq r$  be an integer. Then*

$$d_{kSS}(G) = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k} \right\rfloor & \text{when } r \equiv k \pmod{2} \text{ and } \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k} \right\rfloor \text{ is odd,} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k} \right\rfloor - 1 & \text{when } r \equiv k \pmod{2} \text{ and } \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k} \right\rfloor \text{ is even,} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k+1} \right\rfloor & \text{when } r \equiv k+1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k+1} \right\rfloor \text{ is odd,} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k+1} \right\rfloor - 1 & \text{when } r \equiv k+1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k+1} \right\rfloor \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Observation 1.2 and Theorem 2.1 we may assume  $k \leq r - 2$ . Let  $\{M_0, M_1, \dots, M_{r-1}\}$  be a 1-factorization of  $G$ . We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: Assume that  $r \equiv k \pmod{2}$ . Suppose that  $r = kq + t$ , where  $q$  is a positive integer and  $0 \leq t \leq k - 1$ . By Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 2.7,  $d_{kSS}(G) \leq q$  if  $q$  is odd and  $d_{kSS}(G) \leq q - 1$  if  $q$  is even.

Subcase 1.1: Assume that  $q$  is odd. Then  $t$  is even. Define the functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q$  as follows.

$$f_1(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e \in M_i \text{ where } 0 \leq i \leq \frac{k(q-1)}{2} + k - 1, \\ -1 & \text{if } e \in M_i \text{ and } \frac{k(q-1)}{2} + k \leq i \leq kq - 1, \end{cases}$$

and for  $2 \leq j \leq q$  and  $0 \leq i \leq kq - 1$ ,

$$f_j(M_i) = f_{j-1}(M_{i+2k}),$$

where the sum is taken modulo  $kq$ . In addition, if  $t > 0$ ,

$$f_j(M_i) = (-1)^{i+j} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq q \text{ and } kq \leq i \leq r - 1.$$

It is easy to see that  $f_j$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating function of  $G$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq q$  and  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q\}$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating family of  $G$ . Hence  $d_{kSS}(G) \geq q$ . Therefore  $d_{kSS}(G) = q$ , as desired.

Subcase 1.2: Assume that  $q$  is even. Then  $t + k$  is even. Define the functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{q-1}$  as follows.

$$f_1(M_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \frac{k(q-2)}{2} + k - 1, \\ -1 & \text{if } \frac{k(q-2)}{2} + k \leq i \leq k(q-1) - 1, \end{cases}$$

and for  $2 \leq j \leq q - 1$  and  $0 \leq i \leq k(q - 1) - 1$ ,

$$f_j(M_i) = f_{j-1}(M_{i+2k}),$$

where the sum is taken modulo  $k(q - 1)$ . In addition,

$$f_j(M_i) = (-1)^{i+j} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq q \text{ and } k(q - 1) \leq i \leq r - 1.$$



It is easy to see that  $f_j$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating function of  $G$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq q-1$  and  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{q-1}\}$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating family on  $G$ . Hence  $d_{kSS}(G) \geq q-1$  and so  $d_{kSS}(G) = q-1$ .

Case 2: Assume that  $r \equiv k+1 \pmod{2}$ . Suppose that  $r = (k+1)q + t$ , where  $q$  is a positive integer and  $0 \leq t \leq k$ . By Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 2.7,  $d_{kSS}(G) \leq q$  if  $q$  is odd and  $d_{kSS}(G) \leq q-1$  if  $q$  is even.

Subcase 2.1: Assume that  $q$  is odd. Then  $t$  is even. Define the functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q$  as follows.

$$f_1(M_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \frac{(k+1)(q-1)}{2} + k, \\ -1 & \text{if } \frac{(k+1)(q-1)}{2} + k + 1 \leq i \leq (k+1)q - 1, \end{cases}$$

and for  $2 \leq j \leq q$  and  $0 \leq i \leq (k+1)q - 1$ ,

$$f_j(M_i) = f_{j-1}(M_{i+2(k+1)}),$$

where the sum is taken modulo  $(k+1)q$ . In addition, if  $t > 0$ ,

$$f_j(M_i) = (-1)^{i+j} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq q \text{ and } (k+1)q \leq i \leq r-1.$$

It is easy to see that  $f_j$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating function of  $G$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq q$  and  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q\}$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating family of  $G$ . Hence  $d_{kSS}(G) \geq q$ . Therefore  $d_{kSS}(G) = q$ , as desired.

Subcase 2.2: Assume that  $q$  is even. Then  $t+k+1$  is even. Define the functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{q-1}$  as follows.

$$f_1(M_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \frac{(k+1)(q-2)}{2} + k, \\ -1 & \text{if } \frac{(k+1)(q-2)}{2} + k + 1 \leq i \leq (k+1)(q-1) - 1, \end{cases}$$

and for  $2 \leq j \leq q-1$  and  $0 \leq i \leq (k+1)(q-1) - 1$ ,

$$f_j(M_i) = f_{j-1}(M_{i+2(k+1)}),$$

where the sum is taken modulo  $(k+1)(q-1)$ . In addition,

$$f_j(M_i) = (-1)^{i+j} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq q \text{ and } (k+1)(q-1) \leq i \leq n-1.$$

It is easy to see that  $f_j$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating function of  $G$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq q-1$  and  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{q-1}\}$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating family of  $G$ . Hence  $d_{kSS}(G) \geq q-1$  and so  $d_{kSS}(G) = q-1$ , as desired.  $\square$

Applying Theorem 3.1 and the well-known classical Theorem of König [4] that a  $k$ -regular bipartite graph is 1-factorable, we obtain the next result.

**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $G$  is an  $r$ -regular bipartite graph and  $1 \leq k \leq r$  is an integer, then*

$$d_{kSS}(G) = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k} \right\rfloor & \text{when } r \equiv k \pmod{2} \text{ and } \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k} \right\rfloor \text{ is odd,} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k} \right\rfloor - 1 & \text{when } r \equiv k \pmod{2} \text{ and } \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k} \right\rfloor \text{ is even,} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k+1} \right\rfloor & \text{when } r \equiv k+1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k+1} \right\rfloor \text{ is odd,} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k+1} \right\rfloor - 1 & \text{when } r \equiv k+1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } \left\lfloor \frac{r}{k+1} \right\rfloor \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  and factorable into  $r$  Hamiltonian cycles and let  $1 \leq k \leq 2r$  be an integer. Then*

$$d_{kSS}(G) = \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{2r}{k} \right\rfloor & \text{when } k \text{ is even and } \left\lfloor \frac{2r}{k} \right\rfloor \text{ is odd,} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{2r}{k} \right\rfloor - 1 & \text{when } k \text{ and } \left\lfloor \frac{2r}{k} \right\rfloor \text{ are even,} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{2r}{k+1} \right\rfloor & \text{when } k \text{ and } \left\lfloor \frac{2r}{k+1} \right\rfloor \text{ are odd,} \\ \left\lfloor \frac{2r}{k+1} \right\rfloor - 1 & \text{when } k \text{ is odd and } \left\lfloor \frac{2r}{k+1} \right\rfloor \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a Hamiltonian factorable graph, and let  $\{C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{r-1}\}$  be a Hamiltonian factorization of  $G$ . We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: Assume that  $k$  is even. Suppose that  $2r = kq + t$ , where  $q$  is a positive integer and  $0 \leq t \leq k - 1$ . By Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 2.7,  $d_{kSS}(G) \leq q$  if  $q$  is odd and  $d_{kSS}(G) \leq q - 1$  if  $q$  is even.

Subcase 1.1: Assume that  $q$  is odd. Then  $t$  is even and  $r = (k/2)q + (t/2)$ . Define the functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q$  as follows.

$$f_1(C_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \frac{k(q-1)}{4} + \frac{k}{2} - 2, \\ -1 & \text{if } \frac{k(q-1)}{4} + \frac{k}{2} - 1 \leq i \leq \frac{k}{2}q - 1, \end{cases}$$

and for  $2 \leq j \leq q$  and  $0 \leq i \leq \frac{k}{2}q - 1$ ,

$$f_j(C_i) = f_{j-1}(C_{i+k}),$$

where the sum is taken modulo  $(k/2)q$ . In addition, if  $t > 0$ ,

$$f_j(C_i) = (-1)^{i+j} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq q \text{ and } \frac{k}{2}q \leq i \leq r - 1.$$

It is easy to see that  $f_j$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating function of  $G$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq q$  and  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q\}$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating family of  $G$ . Hence  $d_{kSS}(G) \geq q$ . Therefore  $d_{kSS}(G) = q$ , as desired.

Subcase 1.2: Assume that  $q$  is even. Then  $(k/2) + (t/2)$  is even. Define the functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{q-1}$  as follows.

$$f_1(C_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \frac{k(q-2)}{4} + \frac{k}{2} - 2, \\ -1 & \text{if } \frac{k(q-2)}{4} + \frac{k}{2} - 1 \leq i \leq \frac{k}{2}(q-1) - 1, \end{cases}$$

and for  $2 \leq j \leq q-1$  and  $0 \leq i \leq (k/2)(q-1) - 1$ ,

$$f_j(M_i) = f_{j-1}(M_{i+k}),$$

where the sum is taken modulo  $(k/2)(q-1)$ . In addition,

$$f_j(C_i) = (-1)^{i+j} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq q \text{ and } \frac{k}{2}(q-1) \leq i \leq r-1.$$

It is easy to see that  $f_j$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating function of  $G$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq q-1$  and  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{q-1}\}$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating family on  $G$ . Hence  $d_{kSS}(G) \geq q-1$  and so  $d_{kSS}(G) = q-1$ .

Case 2: Assume that  $k$  is odd. Suppose that  $2r = (k+1)q + t$ , where  $q$  is a positive integer and  $0 \leq t \leq k$ . By Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 2.7,  $d_{kSS}(G) \leq q$  if  $q$  is odd and  $d_{kSS}(G) \leq q-1$  if  $q$  is even.

Subcase 2.1: Assume that  $q$  is odd. Then  $t$  is even. Define the functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q$  as follows.

$$f_1(C_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \frac{(k+1)(q-1)}{4} + \frac{k+1}{2} - 2, \\ -1 & \text{if } \frac{(k+1)(q-1)}{4} + \frac{k+1}{2} - 1 \leq i \leq \frac{(k+1)}{2}q - 1, \end{cases}$$

and for  $2 \leq j \leq q$  and  $0 \leq i \leq (k+1)q/2 - 1$ ,

$$f_j(C_i) = f_{j-1}(C_{i+(k+1)}),$$

where the sum is taken modulo  $(k+1)q/2$ . In addition, if  $t > 0$ ,

$$f_j(C_i) = (-1)^{i+j} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq q \text{ and } \frac{(k+1)}{2}q \leq i \leq r-1.$$

It is easy to see that  $f_j$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating function of  $G$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq q$  and  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_q\}$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating family of  $G$ . Hence  $d_{kSS}(G) \geq q$ . Therefore  $d_{kSS}(G) = q$ , as desired.

Subcase 2.2: Assume that  $q$  is even. Then  $t/2 + (k+1)/2$  is even. Define the functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{q-1}$  as follows.

$$f_1(C_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq \frac{(k+1)(q-2)}{4} + \frac{k+1}{2} - 2, \\ -1 & \text{if } \frac{(k+1)(q-2)}{4} + \frac{k+1}{2} - 1 \leq i \leq \frac{(k+1)}{2}(q-1) - 1, \end{cases}$$

and for  $2 \leq j \leq q - 1$  and  $0 \leq i \leq (k + 1)(q - 1)/2 - 1$ ,

$$f_j(C_i) = f_{j-1}(C_{i+(k+1)}),$$

where the sum is taken modulo  $(k + 1)(q - 1)/2$ . In addition,

$$f_j(C_i) = (-1)^{i+j} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq q \text{ and } \frac{(k+1)}{2}(q-1) \leq i \leq r-1.$$

It is easy to see that  $f_j$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating function of  $G$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq q - 1$  and  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{q-1}\}$  is a signed star  $k$ -dominating family of  $G$ . Hence  $d_{kSS}(G) \geq q - 1$  and so  $d_{kSS}(G) = q - 1$ , as desired.  $\square$

According to Theorems 3.1, 3.3 and the following two well-known results, we can determine the signed star  $k$ -domatic number of complete graphs.

**Theorem.** *The complete graph  $K_{2r}$  is 1-factorable.*

**Theorem.** *For every positive integer  $r$ , the graph  $K_{2r+1}$  is Hamiltonian factorable.*

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Atapour, S. M. Sheikholeslami, A. N. Ghameshlou, and L. Volkmann, *Signed star domatic number of a graph*, Discrete Appl. Math. **158** (2010), 213–218.
2. A. N. Ghameshlou, A. Khodkar, R. Saei, and S. M. Sheikholeslami, *Signed  $(b, k)$ -edge covers in graphs*, Intelligent Information Management **2** (2010), 143–148.
3. T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi, and P. J. Slater, *Fundamentals of domination in graphs*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998.
4. D. König, *Über Graphen und ihre Anwendung auf Determinantentheorie und Mengenlehre*, Math. Ann. **77** (1916), 453–465.
5. R. Saei and S. M. Sheikholeslami, *Signed star  $k$ -subdomination numbers in graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **156** (2008), 3066–3070.
6. C. P. Wang, *The signed star domination numbers of the Cartesian product*, Discrete Appl. Math. **155** (2007), 1497–1505.
7. C.P. Wang, *The signed  $b$ -matchings and  $b$ -edge covers of strong product graphs*, Contrib. Discrete Math. **5** (2010), 1–10.
8. D. B. West, *Introduction to graph theory*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 2000.
9. B. Xu, *On edge domination numbers of graphs*, Discrete Math. **294** (2005), 311–316.
10. ———, *Two classes of edge domination in graphs*, Discrete Appl. Math. **154** (2006), 1541–1546.
11. B. Xu and C. H. Li, *Signed star  $k$ -domination numbers of graphs*, Pure Appl. Math. (Xi'an) **25** (2009), 638–641, in Chinese.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AZARBAIJAN UNIVERSITY OF TARBIAT MOALLEM,  
TABRIZ, I. R. IRAN

*E-mail address:* s.m.sheikholeslami@azaruniv.edu

LEHRSTUHL II FÜR MATHEMATIK, RWTH-AACHEN UNIVERSITY,  
52056 AACHEN, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* volkm@math2.rwth-aachen.de